

## METHODS & DESIGNS

# Intensity fluctuations produced by multimode lasers in combination with dielectric beam splitters

MICHAEL E. BRETON, MALVIN CARL TEICH, and LEONARD MATIN  
*Columbia University, New York, New York 10027*

The combination of an unpolarized multimode laser with standard dielectric beam control devices can give rise to large intensity fluctuations that can be troublesome in visual science experiments. A number of ways to avoid this problem are discussed.

This research note is directed to a specific gap in the current technical literature that is readily available to the behavioral researcher. In setting up a recent experiment, we encountered large unexplained intensity fluctuations in our laser-optical system. This system employs what is generally referred to as an unpolarized multiple-longitudinal-mode (TEM<sub>00q</sub>) He-Ne laser (operating at a wavelength of 632.8 nm) in combination with dielectric optical path control devices (ordinary beam splitters and pellicles). For a beam reflected from a dielectric surface in this system, the light intensity was observed to vary by about 25% on a time scale of the order of minutes. This compares unfavorably with the less than 5% variability measurable directly from the laser output with no intervening dielectric surfaces at angles other than normal to the output beam (see Table 1). Table 1 shows that a totally reflecting aluminized surface will impart negligible intensity fluctuations to the reflected laser beam. On the other hand, where reflection is totally accounted for by an uncoated dielectric surface, the maximum fluctuation will be observed. The magnitude of the fluctuation varies approximately inversely with the percentage of reflection accounted for by nondielectric means such as partial aluminizing of the dielectric surface.

The existence of this problem is known to some involved in laser design and is a subject of current research (Duardo, Wang, & Hug, 1976). However, new users of lasers as a research tool may not be aware of this troublesome characteristic until they find themselves confronted with large intensity fluctuations for no discernible reason. Of course, a laser system exhibiting

This research was supported by NIH Postdoctoral Fellowship 1 F32 EY00017 and Grant 5 R01 EY00375 from the National Eye Institute, NIH Grant 1 R03 MH23425 from the National Institute of Mental Health, and Grants ENG 75-09325 and BMS 73-01463 from the National Science Foundation. Requests for reprints should be addressed to Michael E. Breton, Visual Science Laboratory, Department of Psychology, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027.

such fluctuations would prove difficult to adapt to many experimental situations. What is more important, a lack of awareness of the problem may introduce artifacts into designs where, for other reasons, the intensity is not being carefully monitored. This is a particular hazard since the laser specifications show that minimal intensity fluctuations should be expected. Yet even a 25% variation is not discernible when the beam is visually observed by scattering from a diffuse surface.

The explanation of this effect involves the nature of polarization of the multimode laser output (Yoshino, 1972) and the polarizing properties of reflection from a dielectric surface. The output of the "unpolarized" multimode laser often consists of several polarized components which slowly pass through the Doppler line (Duardo, Wang, & Hug, 1976; Mas, Blancher, & Roig, 1974; Berg, Note 1). This light, therefore, is not unpolarized in the sense that all polarizations are simultaneously represented. When incident on a dielectric surface at angles near the polarizing or Brewster angle (cf. Jenkins & White, 1957), the reflectivity for the beam may be substantially altered as its effective axis of polarization rotates relative to the plane of the reflecting surface. Since there are commonly only three to five principal modes in the usual laboratory laser of this variety, substantial variability in the reflected intensity can occur. Fluctuations in the transmitted beam also occur, but are of much less relative amplitude, as expected (see Table 1).

In fact, a similar problem of intensity variability will be found in systems employing a multimode unpolarized laser in conjunction with polarizing mechanisms other than dielectric reflection (e.g., dichroism, birefringence). Unless the polarizing agent can be oriented to select equally from the two orthogonally polarized laser output components, selective attenuation by the external polarizer, and therefore variable intensity fluctuations, will be produced in a manner analogous to the original problem.

Table 1  
Intensity Variability in Time as a Function of Reflecting or Transmitting Conditions of Optics and of Laser Type<sup>a</sup>

Surface Between Laser and Intensity Measuring Instrument <sup>b</sup>		Angle of Incidence (Deg)	Measured Average Percentage Intensity Variation <sup>c</sup>	
			Unpolarized Multimode He-Ne Laser <sup>d</sup>	Polarized Multimode He-Ne Laser <sup>e</sup>
Uncoated Glass Slide	Reflected Beam	45	22.8	1.5
Uncoated Glass Slide	Transmitted Beam	45	3.6	*
Uncoated Glass Slide	Transmitted Beam	90	1.5	*
100% Aluminized First Surface	Reflected Beam	45	2.0	1.8
35% Aluminized First Surface	Reflected Beam	45	7.7	*

- <sup>a</sup> Lasers: Coherent radiation, He-Ne (632.8 nm), 2.5 mW, longitudinal mode spacing 566 MHz; Model 80-S (unpolarized), Model 80-SP (polarized).  
<sup>b</sup> In all cases, neutral density filters providing between .5 and 1.5 log units of attenuation were inserted normal to the beam.  
<sup>c</sup> Over a period of the order of minutes depending on how long the laser has been on.  
<sup>d</sup> Readings taken with a silicon solar cell (Centralab 110 CLVC) fed into a digital voltmeter (DVM) and counter (Beckman Eput and timer Model 6144); sample duration = .1 sec, sample rate = 1/2.5 sec<sup>-1</sup>, 100 samples.  
<sup>e</sup> Same solar cell and DVM as specified in d; sample duration = .1 sec, sample rate = 1/17 sec<sup>-1</sup>, 100 samples.  
 \* Reading not taken.

Besides proper orientation of an external polarizer, which generally has the drawback of limiting usable output power, there are a number of technically feasible solutions to the problem. The simplest is to substitute a laser that produces a single nonrotating polarized output. Such lasers are commonly available and are usually constructed with windows at the Brewster angle internal to the resonant cavity of the laser (Siegman, 1971). The windows act to encourage oscillation with a particular polarization prescribed by the plane of the windows. Thus, all oscillating modes display the same polarization. This solution will be effective regardless of the polarizing procedures used in the external system. A second (partial) solution, applicable where only dielectric reflection is the polarizing agent, is to avoid reflectance angles close to Brewster's angle (e.g., 56 deg for refractive index 1.50). For this solution to begin to be effective, angles of incidence less than 30 deg are generally required. Intensity fluctuations can also be eliminated (or minimized) by the use of magnetic fields, quarter-wave plates, and specially oriented polarizers, as Mas, Blancher, and Roig (1974) have discussed.

#### REFERENCE NOTE

1. Berg, A. D. Personal communication. Coherent Radiation, Palo Alto, California.

#### REFERENCES

- DUARDO, J. A., WANG, S. C., & HUG, W. Polarization properties of internal mirror He-Ne lasers. *Proceedings of the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers*, 1976, **88**, 34-49.  
 JENKINS, F. A., & WHITE, H. E. *Fundamentals of optics*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1957. Pp. 509-513.  
 MAS, G., BLANCHER, H., & ROIG, J. Light intensity of fundamental TEM<sub>00</sub> mode of a He-Ne gas laser without Brewster windows: Achievement of a polarized rectilinear laser beam of stable intensity. *Applied Optics*, 1974, **13**, 2771-2773.  
 SIEGMAN, A. E. *An introduction to lasers and masers*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1971. Pp. 40-43.  
 YOSHINO, T. Polarization properties of internal mirror He-Ne lasers at 6328 Å. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 1972, **11**, 263-265.

(Received for publication November 12, 1976.  
 Revision accepted February 1, 1977.)

# Behavior Research Methods & Instrumentation

A Journal of

THE PSYCHONOMIC SOCIETY, Inc.

**Joseph B. Sidowski, Editor**

Department of Psychology  
University of South Florida  
Tampa, Florida 33620

## Consulting Editors

- Doris Aaronson  
New York University
- Norman H. Anderson  
University of California, San Diego
- William R. Biersdorf  
Ohio State University
- J. V. Brady  
Johns Hopkins University
- Clinton Brown  
Maryland Psychiatric Research Center
- Judson S. Brown  
University of Oregon Medical School
- David A. Grant  
University of Wisconsin, Madison
- Marshall M. Haith  
University of Denver
- Herschel W. Leibowitz  
Pennsylvania State University
- James McGaugh  
University of California, Irvine
- William R. Uttal  
University of Michigan
- Steven G. Vandenberg  
University of Colorado
- William T. Woodard  
University of Hawaii

**Sharon Tarver**

*Managing Editor*

Published bimonthly by  
THE PSYCHONOMIC SOCIETY, Inc.  
1108 West 34th Street  
Austin, Texas 78705

Publication No. 703220  
Second Class Postage paid at  
Austin, Texas 78705

Copyright © 1977 by  
THE PSYCHONOMIC SOCIETY, Inc.

(continued from front cover)

- Yonovitz, A., & Michaels, R. 356 Durable, Efficient, and Economical Electronic Toilet-Training Devices for Use With Retarded Children
- COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY
- Braddick, O. 359 Real-Time Generation of Random-Element Motion Displays
- PROGRAM ABSTRACTS/ALGORITHMS
- Robertson, S. A. 363 A BASIC Program to Produce Random Samples Without Replacement
- Fisher, M. A. 365 Simulation of the Fisher-Zeaman Multiple-Look Attention Theory
- Stricklin, W. R., Graves, H. B., & Wilson, L. L. 367 DISTANGLE: A FORTRAN Program to Analyze and Simulate Spacing Behavior of Animals

(continued on back cover)

## INFORMATION FOR CONTRIBUTORS AND SUBSCRIBERS

**BEHAVIOR RESEARCH METHODS & INSTRUMENTATION** is published bimonthly by The Psychonomic Society, Inc., a nonprofit organization. It publishes articles, as its name implies, in the area of the methods, techniques, and the instrumentation of research in experimental psychology. It also has a section on computer technology. Its Editor is Joseph B. Sidowski.

**MANUSCRIPTS** should be sent in quadruplicate to the Editor, Joseph B. Sidowski, Department of Psychology, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620. Manuscripts should be numbered consecutively from the abstract on. Manuscripts should be typed with double spacing (3 lines to the inch) in columns 6 in. wide. Include an abstract of 100 to 150 words in the form used by the American Psychological Association. The abstract should be on a separate page. So also should each table, references, and a list of figure legends. Manuscripts should adhere to the conventions concerning references, preparation of tables, manuscript format, etc., described in the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, except that, in the abbreviation of physical units, the style of the American Institute of Physics is followed. When in doubt, consult a recent issue of the journal or write to the Editorial Office (1108 West 34th Street, Austin, Texas 78705) for a copy of this journal's style guide.

**FIGURES AND ILLUSTRATIONS** should be given special attention. For legibility figures should be composed of letters and numbers between 12-point and 14-point size in Helvetica, Trade Gothic, or similar type style of medium weight (not bold or light). Lines and symbols should be proportionately the same weight. Open circles should be about twice the size of closed circles. The size of the original must be such that reduction is no less than 40% of the original. Thus, for a single-column figure (80 mm), the width of the original must be between 175 and 200 mm. Figures already reduced to a 70- to 80-mm width are also welcome. Single-column figures are preferred. Halftones require special attention in both detail and figure-ground contrast. Submit the original or a PMT stat or other graphic reproduction. See Note from Publisher, Vol. 9, page 1.

**SUBSCRIPTIONS** are \$20 per year for institutions and \$10 per year for individuals. Subscriptions ordered by institutions are charged at the institutional price regardless of the use intended. Add \$1 for postage in foreign countries. Single issues are \$4. Remittance must be in U.S. dollars. Send all subscription orders and changes to the address to the Publications Office, The Psychonomic Society, 1108 West 34th Street, Austin, Texas 78705. Individuals and organizations in Texas that are not tax exempt should add 5% sales tax.