Dynamic Programming

BU Macro 2008 Lecture 4

Outline

- 1. Certainty optimization problem used to illustrate:
 - a. Restrictions on exogenous variables
 - b. Value function
 - c. Policy function
 - d. The Bellman equation and an associated Lagrangian
 - e. The envelope theorem
 - f. The Euler equation

Outline Cont'd

- 2. Consumption over time
- 3. Adding uncertainty
- 4. Consumption under uncertainty: setting up the problem.

1. A certainty dynamic problem and the DP approach

• Maximize

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(k_t, x_t, c_t)$$

• Subject to $k_{t+1} - k_t = g(k_t, x_t, c_t)$

and

$$x_t = x(\varsigma_t)$$

$$\varsigma_t = m(\varsigma_{t-1})$$

Notable (relative to Lecture 1)

- Immediate jump to infinite horizon problem, not essential but matches presentation in LS chapter 2 (note differences in notation, though).
- The exogenous (x) variable(s) are now functions of a vector of exogenous state variables, which evolve according to a difference equation (perhaps nonlinear, perhaps in a vector).
- The latter is a key part of the vision of Richard Bellman, the inventor of DP: his experience in other areas (such as difference equations) led him to think in terms of describing dynamics in terms of state variables.

Recursive policies

• Suppose controls are functions of states,

 $c_{t} = \pi(k_{t}, \varsigma_{t}) \Longrightarrow$ $k_{t+1} = k_{t} + g(k_{t}, x_{t}, c_{t})$ $= k_{t} + g(k_{t}, x(\varsigma_{t}), \pi(k_{t}, \varsigma_{t}))$

Then, the state vector evolves according to a recursion

$$s_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{t+1} \\ \zeta_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_t + g(k_t, x(\zeta_t), \pi(k_t, \zeta_t)) \\ m(\zeta_{t+1}) \end{bmatrix} = M(s_t)$$

that can be used to generate future states from given initial conditions

Evaluating the objective

 Under any recursive policy, we can see that all of the terms which enter in the objective are a function of the initial state (s₀) so that the objective is also a function of the initial state

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(k_t, x_t, c_t)$$
$$= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(k_t, x(\varsigma_t), \pi(k_t, x(\varsigma_t)))$$

Notice the switch

- Given that there is a function which describes the policy, the objective is now a function of the state vector.
- We have made the change we are now thinking in terms of functions rather than sequences.
- But we haven't optimized yet! We could be calculating the objective with a very bad policy.

Bellman's core idea

- Subdivide complicated intertemporal problems into many "two period" problems, in which the trade-off is between the present "now" and "later".
- Specifically, the idea was to find the optimal control and state "now", taking as given that latter behavior would itself be optimal.

The Principle of Optimality

 "An optimal policy has the property that, whatever the state and optimal first decision may be, the remaining decisions constitute an optimal policy with respect to the state originating from the first decisions"—Bellman (1957, pg. 83)

Following the principle,

• The natural maximization problem is

 $\max_{c_t, k_{t+1}} \{ u(c_t, k_t, x(\varsigma_t)) + \beta V(k_{t+1}, \varsigma_{t+1}) \}$ s.t. $k_{t+1} = k_t + g(k_t, x_t, c_t)$ $\varsigma_{t+1} = m(\varsigma_t)$

 Where the right hand side is the current momentary objective (u) plus the consequences (V) of for the discounted objective of behaving optimally in the future.

Noting that time does not enter in an essential way

- We sometimes write this as (with 'meaning next period) $\max_{c,k'} \{u(c,k,x(\varsigma)) + \beta V(k',\varsigma')\}$ $s.t. \ k' = k_t + g(k,x(\varsigma),c)$ $\varsigma' = m(\varsigma)$
- So then the Bellman equation is written as

$$V(k,\varsigma) = \max_{c,k'} \{ u(c,k,x(\varsigma)) + \beta V(k',\varsigma') \}$$

s.t. $k' = k + g(k,x(\varsigma),c)$
 $\varsigma' = m(\varsigma)$

After the maximization

 We know the optimal policy (which we will call π as above) and can calculate the associated value, so that there is now a Bellman equation of the form

$$V(k,\varsigma) = \{u(\pi(k,\varsigma), k, x(\varsigma)) + \beta V(k + g(k, x(\varsigma), \pi(k,\varsigma)), \varsigma')\}$$

• A functional equation is defined, colloquially, as an equation whose unknowns are functions. In our context, the unknowns are the policy and value functions.

How to do the optimization?

- You are free to choose, depending on the application
- Sometimes we take the Euler route, substituting in the constraint and maximizing directly over k'
- Other times we want to use a Lagrange approach, putting a multiplier on the constraint governing k'

The associated Lagrangian

• Takes the form

 $L = \{u(c, k, x(\varsigma)) + \beta V(k', \varsigma')\}$ $+ \lambda [k + g(k, x(\varsigma), c) - k']$

 The optimal policy, state evolution and related multiplier are obtained by <u>maximizing</u> with respect to c,k' and <u>minimizing</u> with respect to λ. Hence these are all functions of the state variables.

For an optimum (off corners)

• We must have

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial u(c, k, x(\varsigma))}{\partial c} + \lambda \frac{\partial g(k, x(\varsigma), c)}{\partial c} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial k'} = -\lambda + \beta \frac{\partial V(k', \varsigma')}{\partial k'} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = [k + g(k, x(\varsigma), c) - k'] = 0$$

 And, at the values which solve these equations, V=L

The envelope theorem (Benveniste-Scheinkman)

- Question: what is the effect of an infinitessimal change in k on V?
- Answer: It is given by

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial k} = \frac{\partial u(c,k,x(\varsigma))}{\partial k} + \lambda \frac{\partial g(k,x(\varsigma),c)}{\partial k}$$

when we evaluate at the optimal policy and the associated multiplier. As in LS, this may also be written a form which does not involve the multiplier, $\frac{\partial V}{\partial k} = \frac{\partial u(c,k,x(\varsigma))}{\partial k} + \beta \frac{\partial V(k',\varsigma')}{\partial k'} \frac{\partial g(k,x(\varsigma),c)}{\partial k}$

Outline of proof

- Nontrivial to show differentiability of V
- But if we have this (as we will frequently assume) then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial k} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial k} = \{ \frac{\partial u(c,k,x(\varsigma))}{\partial c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial k} + \frac{\partial u(c,k,x(\varsigma))}{\partial k} \} \\ &+ \beta \frac{\partial V(k',\varsigma')}{\partial k'} \frac{\partial k'}{\partial k} \\ &+ \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial k} [k + g(k,x(\varsigma),c) - k'] \\ &+ \lambda [1 + \frac{\partial g(k,x(\varsigma),c)}{\partial k}] + \lambda [\frac{\partial g(k,x(\varsigma),c)}{\partial k} \frac{\partial c}{\partial k} - \frac{\partial k'}{\partial k}] \end{aligned}$$

• While this looks ugly, all terms involving behavior are multiplied by coefficients that are set to zero by the FOCs.

Iterating on the Bellman Equation

- Under specific conditions on the functions u and g, the Bellman equation has a unique, strictly concave (in k) solution.
- Under these conditions, it can be calculated by considering the limit

$$V_{j+1}(k,\varsigma) = \max_{c,k'} \{ u(k, x(\varsigma), c) + \beta V_j(k',\varsigma') \}$$

s.t. $k' = k + g(k, x(\varsigma), c)$

• These iterations are interpretable as calculating the value functions for a problem with successively longer horizons.

2. Optimal consumption over time

• Simple case (no k,x in u)

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$

Accumulation of assets

$$a_{t+1} = R[a_t + y_t - c_t]$$

 $y_{t+1} = y + \rho(y_t - y)$

• And $\beta R=1$ (level consumption)

Bellman Equation

$$V(a, y) = \max_{c,a'} \{u(c) + \beta V(a', y')\}$$

s.t. $a' = R[a + y - c]$
 $y' - \underline{y} = \rho(y - \underline{y})$

Taking an Euler Route

$$V(a, y) = \max_{c,a'} \{ u(a + y - \frac{1}{R}a') + \beta V(a', y') \}$$

s.t.
$$y' - \underline{y} = \rho(y - \underline{y})$$

$$EE: 0 = -u_c(a + y - \frac{1}{R}a')\frac{1}{R} + \beta \frac{\partial V(a', y')}{\partial a'}$$
$$ET: \frac{\partial V(a, y)}{\partial a} = u_c(a + y - \frac{1}{R}a')$$

Learning about consumption

• Update ET and insert in EE to get

$$u_c(a+y-\frac{1}{R}a') = u_c(a'+y'-\frac{1}{R}a'') \Longrightarrow c = c'$$

Suppose there is a linear policy function

$$c = \kappa + \theta_y(y - \underline{y}) + \theta_a a$$

$$c' = \kappa + \theta_{y}(y' - \underline{y}) + \theta_{a}a'$$

$$= \kappa + \theta_{y}\rho(y - \underline{y}) + \theta_{a}R[a + y - c]$$

$$= \kappa + \theta_{y}\rho(y - \underline{y}) + \theta_{a}R[a + y - \kappa - \theta_{y}(y - \underline{y}) - \theta_{a}a]$$
BU Macro Fall 2008, Lecture 4 23

Requiring c=c', we have equations that restrict undetermined coefficients

$$\kappa + \theta_{y}(y - \underline{y}) + \theta_{a}a$$

= $\kappa + \theta_{y}\rho(y - \underline{y}) + \theta_{a}R[a + (y - \underline{y}) + \underline{y} - \kappa - \theta_{y}(y - \underline{y}) - \theta_{a}a]$

$$\kappa = \kappa + \theta_a R(\underline{y} - \kappa) \Longrightarrow \kappa = \underline{y}$$

$$\theta_y = \theta_y \rho + \theta_a R(1 - \theta_y) \Longrightarrow \theta_y = \theta_a R / [1 - \rho + \theta_a R]$$

$$\theta_a = \theta_a R[1 - \theta_a] \Longrightarrow \theta_a = (\frac{R - 1}{R})$$

$$\theta_y = \theta_a \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{\rho}{R})}$$
 BU Macro Fall 2008, Lecture 4

Economic Rules

- Consume the normal level of income (\underline{y})
- Consume the interest from asset stock, leaving the asset stock unchanged period to period (except as noted next)
- Consume based on the "present value" of deviations from normal income, treating this as if it were another source of wealth; allow variations in asset position on this basis.

Could have gotten these rules more directly





Questions & Answers

- If we could have gotten them more easily, then why do we need DP?
 - Because there are many problems that we cannot solve so easily and DP is a procedure for solving them.
- What is the value function?

$$V(a, y) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} u(a + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{R}} \frac{y}{1 - \frac{1}{R}} (y - \frac{y}{R}))$$

- Easy to determine in this case because c is constant over time; V inherits properties of u
- Check: take this v, insert in Bellman equation as v', show optimal form c has specified form, show v has this form.

3. A Stochastic dynamic problem and the DP approach

• Maximize $E\{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\beta^{t}u(k_{t},x_{t},c_{t})\} \mid (k_{0},\varsigma_{0})$

• Subject to $k_{t+1} - k_t = g(k_t, x_t, c_t)$

and Markovian exogenous state variables

$$x_t = x(\varsigma_t)$$

$$\Upsilon(\underline{\varsigma}, B) = prob(\varsigma_{t+1} \in B \mid \varsigma_t = \underline{\varsigma})$$

Markov examples

- Markov chains (LS, Chapter 1)
- Linear state space systems
- Nonlinear difference equations with iid shocks,

 $\varsigma_{t+1} = m(\varsigma_t, e_{t+1})$

- We won't be more explicit until necessary.
- Key point: states are enough to compute expectations.

Bellman Equation

Uncertainty case is *minor* modification of certainty case

$$V(k,\varsigma) = \max_{c,k'} \{ u(c,k,x(\varsigma)) + \beta EV(k',\varsigma') \mid (k,\varsigma) \}$$

s.t. $k' = k + g(k,x(\varsigma),c)$

Proceeding as above

- Lagrangian $L = \{u(c, k, x(\varsigma)) + \beta EV(k', \varsigma') | (k, \varsigma)\} + \lambda [k + g(k, x(\varsigma), c) k']$
- FOCs $\frac{\partial L}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial u(c, k, x(\varsigma))}{\partial c} + \lambda \frac{\partial g(k, x(\varsigma), c)}{\partial c} = 0$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial k'} = -\lambda + \beta \frac{\partial EV(k', \varsigma')}{\partial \varsigma'} = 0$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = [k + g(k, x(\varsigma), c) - k'] = 0$
- ET is unchanged

Implications for optimal policies and state evolution

Functions of states

$$c_{t} = \pi(k_{t}, \varsigma_{t})$$

$$k_{t+1} - k_{t} = g(k_{t}, x(\varsigma_{t}), \pi(k_{t}, \varsigma_{t}))$$

$$\lambda_{t} = \lambda(k_{t}, \varsigma_{t})$$

• State evolution is now a larger Markov process. For example,

$$s_{t+1} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{t+1} \\ \zeta_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_t + g(k_t, x(\zeta_t), \pi(k_t, \zeta_t)) \\ m(\zeta_t, e_{t+1}) \end{bmatrix} = M(s_t, e_{t+1})$$

Value Function

- Since c,k,x depend on states, the value function also is V(s).
- It is the maximized RHS of the Bellman equation.

4. Optimal consumption with fluctuating income: setting up a DP

• Simple case (no k,x in u)

$$E\{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\beta^{t}u(c_{t})\} \mid s_{0}$$

Accumulation of assets (don't necessarily restrict R)

$$a_{t+1} = R[a_t + y_t - c_t]$$

• Income process $y(\varsigma_t)$

$$\varsigma_t$$
: Markov

One version of the Bellman equation

$$V(a,\varsigma) = \max_{c,a'} \{ (u(c) + EV(a',\varsigma')) \}$$

s.t. $[a + y(\varsigma) - c - \frac{1}{R}a'] = 0$

FOCs and ET

 Make sure you can work these out following the recipe above,

$$c: \quad u_{c}(c) - \lambda = 0$$

$$a': \quad \frac{1}{R}\lambda + E\{\frac{\partial EV(a', \varsigma')}{\partial a'}\} = 0$$

$$\lambda: \quad [a + y(\varsigma) - c - \frac{1}{R}a'] = 0$$

$$ET: \frac{\partial EV(a, \zeta)}{\partial a} = \lambda$$

BU Macro Fall 2008, Lecture 4

Implications for policies

 Optimal consumption depends on (a) wealth; and (b) the variables that are useful for forecasting future income.

 $c(a,\varsigma)$

 But solving for this function is no longer easy. Rationalizes SL's discussion of numerical methods, a topic that we will consider further later.

Implication for Value function

 Value function is objective evaluated at optimal consumption policy, which is a function of a Markov process, so that

$$V(a_0,\varsigma_0) = E\{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(\pi(a_t,\varsigma_t))\} \mid (a_0,\varsigma_0)$$

• Value function satisfies the Bellman functional equation.

$$V(a,\varsigma) = \max_{c,a'} \{ (u(c) + EV(a',\varsigma')) \}$$

s.t. $[a + y(\varsigma) - c - \frac{1}{R}a' = 0]$
 $= (u(\pi(a,\varsigma)) + EV(R[a + y(\varsigma) - \pi(a,\varsigma)],\varsigma') \mid (a,\varsigma)$
BU Macro Fall 2008, Lecture 4 38

What we've covered in this lecture

- Introduction to DP under certainty
- Bellman Equation
- Associated Lagrangian
- FOCs and the ET
- Setting up and solving certainty consumption problem
- DP with exogenous variables that are functions of a Markov process (exogenous state vector)
- Setting up consumption problem with uncertain income

What's next?

- Further analysis of optimal consumption
 - Theory: Levhari/Srinvasan
 - Theory: Sandmo
 - Theory and Empirics: Hall