

BU Macroeconomics, Lecture 3: Equilibrium over time

**Corrections on pages highlighted in red
(equation changes mostly,
but these are not in color)**

Outline

1. An environment
2. Consumption smoothing
3. Recursive market equilibrium, as envisioned by Irving Fisher: a one period loan/bond market each period
4. Initial date markets equilibrium, as developed by John Hicks: outcomes are sequences of prices and quantities
5. A more modern description of recursive equilibrium – outcomes are functions of a state vector -- but not a fully recursive one

1. Environment

- The economy lasts for an even number of periods ($t=0,1,\dots,T$)
- There are many identical agents, each with the utility function

$$\textit{General: } U(\{c_t\})$$

$$\textit{Specific: } \sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t \frac{1}{1-\sigma} c_t^{1-\sigma} \quad \sigma > 0$$

- Increasing in c , strictly concave, and differentiable as needed

Environment (cont'd)

Words

- Each individual owns a firm which produces a time sequence of output (a “tree”)
- The time series of output is periodic, but has a convenient present value of “y” if the market discount factor is β , as at right
- There is no storage or capital accumulation

Equations

$$y_t = h > y \quad \text{if } t \text{ is even}$$

$$y_t = l < y \quad \text{if } t \text{ is odd}$$

$$\sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t y_t = \sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t y = \frac{1 - \beta^{T+1}}{1 - \beta} y$$

$$\text{Implication} \quad (h - y) + \beta(l - y) = 0$$

$$\text{or} \quad h + \beta l = (1 + \beta)y$$

2. Consumption smoothing

- While our individual faces periodic income, suppose that he has access to borrowing and lending at an interest rate $(1+r)=1/\beta$ in every period.
- Then, our individual with the specific utility function will choose full consumption smoothing with $c_t=y$ every period.
- The individual will save in even periods (when income is at $h>y$) and dissave in odd periods, when his income is low.
- Mathematically, $a_{t+1}=h-y$ in even periods and $a_{t+1}=0$ in odd periods .
- Cannot be an equilibrium because all individuals are the same (no interpersonal borrowing and lending) and there is no storage or capital accumulation

Optimal saving

3. Fisherian equilibrium

- Fisher reasoned that at each date, individuals would equate the intertemporal marginal rate of substitution to the interest rate as a condition of private efficiency
- He also reasoned that with a given endowment path that the interest rate had to adjust to consumption, rather than the other way around (as in the individual decision problem from lecture 1)

Fisher's equilibrium in a graph

Fisher's equilibrium in an equation

General:
$$\frac{\partial U(\{c_j\}_{j=0}^T) / \partial c_{t+1}}{\partial U(\{c_j\}_{j=0}^T) / \partial c_t} = \frac{1}{1 + r_t}$$

Specific:
$$\frac{\beta^{t+1} c_{t+1}^{-\sigma}}{\beta^t c_t^{-\sigma}} = \frac{1}{1 + r_t}$$

Either: evaluated at $c_j = y_j$ all $j=0,1,\dots,T$

Fisher's equilibrium (cont'd)

Specific:
$$\frac{\beta^{t+1} y_{t+1}^{-\sigma}}{\beta^t y_t^{-\sigma}} = \frac{1}{1 + r_t}$$

Even periods:
$$\frac{1}{1 + r_t} = \frac{\beta l^{-\sigma}}{h^{-\sigma}} = \beta \left(\frac{l}{h}\right)^{-\sigma}$$

Odd periods:
$$\frac{1}{1 + r_t} = \frac{\beta h^{-\sigma}}{l^{-\sigma}} = \beta \left(\frac{h}{l}\right)^{-\sigma}$$

Approximate for both: $r_t = \nu + \sigma[\log(y_{t+1}) - \log(y_t)]$

with $\beta(1 + \nu) = 1$

Fisher's equilibrium

- In even periods, individuals have high income relative to average and they try to lend (save), pushing down the interest rate ($1+r < \beta$)
- In odd periods, individuals have low income relative to average and they try to borrow (dissave), pushing up the interest rate ($1+r > \beta$)
- All borrowing or lending attempts must be frustrated in equilibrium
- Strength of interest rate response depends on how much individuals are willing to substitute across time (high σ is low substitution: it leads to more “volatile” interest rate)

4. Hick's construction

- Fisher worked to use standard consumer theory, appropriately structured, to consider equilibrium over time in a sequential setting
- Hicks produced a tighter link with standard static consumer and general equilibrium in his classic treatise, *Value and Capital*.
- He envisioned a set of date 0 markets, in which the good at t would be traded at a price P_t .
- Then, the intertemporal problem was just like general equilibrium in a static endowment economy with many goods

Household's problem

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^T} U(\{c_t\}_{t=0}^T)$$

$$s.t. \quad \sum_{t=0}^T P_t c_t \leq \sum_{t=0}^T P_t y_t$$

Household's Lagrangian

$$\min_{\Lambda} \max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^T} U(\{c_t\}_{t=0}^T) + \Lambda [\sum_{t=0}^T P_t y_t - \sum_{t=0}^T P_t c_t]$$

$$FOC \text{ for an interior optimum: } \frac{\partial U(\{c_j\}_{j=0}^T)}{\partial c_t} - \Lambda P_t = 0$$

also BC

Hicksian initial equilibrium prices

- Prices lead individuals to consume endowment at each date
- At such prices, the budget constraint is satisfied with equality
- Standard indeterminacy of the units of prices: only relative prices matter

$$P_t = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{\partial U(\{c_j\}_{j=0}^T)}{\partial c_t} \Big|_{\{c_j\}_{j=0}^T = \{y_j\}_{j=0}^T} \qquad P_t = \frac{1}{\Lambda} y_t^{-\sigma}$$

5. Towards a recursive equilibrium

- Asset accumulation is naturally recursive.
- Endowments as a recursion: note that $y_t = y_{t-2}$

$$\zeta_t = \begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ y_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{t-1} \\ y_{t-2} \end{bmatrix} = M \zeta_{t-1} \quad \zeta_0 = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ l \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y_t = \pi s_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_t \\ y_{t-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Questions to think about: Does this structure really produce the series described above? What are eigenvalues?

Recursive equilibrium components

- Wealth accumulation, given the state of the economy

$$a_{t+1} = (1 + r(\varsigma_t))[a_t + y(\varsigma_t) - c_t]$$

$$\varsigma_{t+1} = M * \varsigma_t$$

- We previously learned what Fisher thought $r(\varsigma)$ was given our $y(\varsigma)$ specification

$$1 + r(\varsigma_t) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{y_{t+1}}{y_t} \right)^\sigma = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\pi_{\varsigma_{t+1}}}{\pi_{\varsigma_t}} \right)^\sigma = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\pi M \varsigma_t}{\pi_{\varsigma_t}} \right)^\sigma$$

Recursive equilibrium components

- Consumers choose a policy $c(\zeta, a)$ to maximize their welfare
- Equilibrium $r(s)$ requires that $c(\zeta, a) = y(\zeta)$ and $a = 0$
- Next time: dynamic programming