Generation of polarization-entangled photon pairs with arbitrary joint spectrum
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We present a scheme for generating polarization-entangled photons pairs with arbitrary joint spectrum. Specifically, we describe a technique for spontaneous parametric down-conversion in which both the center frequencies and the bandwidths of the down-converted photons may be controlled by appropriate manipulation of the pump pulse. The spectral control offered by this technique permits one to choose the operating wavelengths for each photon of a pair based on optimizations of other system parameters (loss in optical fiber, photon counter performance, etc.). The combination of spectral control, polarization control, and lack of group-velocity matching conditions makes this technique particularly well suited for a distributed quantum information processing architecture in which integrated optical circuits are connected by spans of optical fiber.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous parametric down-conversion (SPDC) has proven to be an excellent technology for quantum communication, with SPDC photons functioning as “flying qubits.” The discovery by Knill et al. [1] that linear optics and single-photon detectors are sufficient for scalable quantum computation has opened the possibility that SPDC may also be useful for quantum computation.

As the proposals for quantum information processing with SPDC become more sophisticated, the technical demands placed on SPDC sources become more stringent. For example, a quantum key distribution experiment based on polarization entanglement requires that two two-photon amplification has opened the possibility that SPDC may also be useful for quantum computation.

Since the proposals for quantum information processing with SPDC become more sophisticated, the technical demands placed on SPDC sources become more stringent. For example, a quantum key distribution experiment based on polarization entanglement requires that two two-photon amplification has opened the possibility that SPDC may also be useful for quantum computation.

We discuss the possibility of using this source as part of a distributed quantum information processor based on integrated optics. Finally, we summarize our results in Sec. V.

II. GENERALIZED AUTO-PHASE-MATCHED SPDC

Our source is a generalization of the design we previously introduced under the name auto-phase-matched SPDC [5]; thus, we name this scheme generalized auto-phase-matched SPDC. Like the original scheme, this one features counterpropagating SPDC created in a single-mode nonlinear waveguide by a transverse pump pulse. In the original scheme [Fig. 1(a)], the pump pulse is cross-spectrally pure (i.e., the complex envelope factors into separate functions of space and time), and impinges on the waveguide at normal incidence. In the present scheme [Fig. 1(b)], the pump pulse may have cross-spectral correlations, and may approach the waveguide at non-normal incidence. With these constraints on the pump pulse relaxed, the center frequency and bandwidth of
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A number of techniques have been proposed for creating frequency-uncorrelated SPDC; however, they all impose certain constraints on the center frequencies and/or bandwidths of the SPDC photons. Grice et al. proposed a method for creating frequency-uncorrelated SPDC based on a group-velocity matching condition introduced by Keller and Rubin [7]. Their method can be used to create degenerate, frequency-uncorrelated photons; however, the center frequency of down-conversion is fixed by the nonlinear material, and the bandwidth of two SPDC photons must be equal. They also demonstrate that degenerate, frequency-uncorrelated photons with different bandwidths may be generated; however, in this case the bandwidths are fixed and cannot be independently controlled. Giovannetti et al. proposed extending the approach of Grice et al. by using a periodically poled nonlinear crystal [8]. This allows one to to satisfy the zeroth-order term in the phase-matching relation at an arbitrary pump wavelength, making the group-velocity matching relation easier to satisfy. Even with such an enhancement, this approach does not have sufficient flexibility to allow independent control of the marginal spectra.

A distinct approach for creating frequency-uncorrelated SPDC was independently discovered by U’Ren et al. [2] and Walton et al. [5]. Instead of relying on the satisfaction of a group-velocity matching condition, these approaches rely on the geometrical symmetry of degenerate, noncollinear type-I SPDC (the previously mentioned techniques worked only with type-II SPDC). The essential difference between the two proposals is that for U’Ren et al., the phase-matching relation in the pump propagation direction is a constraint that must be satisfied, while for our auto-phase-matched technique, the single-mode waveguide ensures that this relation is satisfied, regardless of the system parameters. The relative lack of constraints for these techniques makes them attractive, since it suggests that they remain viable options even if the center frequency of SPDC is constrained by some other factor (optical fiber loss, detector efficiency, etc.). Nonetheless, both techniques suffer a lack of flexibility that is reminiscent of the previously mentioned schemes. The SPDC photons must be degenerate, and the bandwidths of the two photons must be equal. In the next section, we show that by generalizing our auto-phase-matched technique, we can obtain independent spectral control of the SPDC photons.

To begin, we review the relationship between the pump pulse and the SPDC in the geometry of Fig. 1. Following the derivation in Ref. [9], a classical pump pulse described on the free-space side of the waveguide-air interface by

\[ E_P(z, t) \propto \int dk \, dk_0 \, \tilde{E}_P(k, \omega)e^{-i(kz - \omega t)} \]  

stimulates the creation of a pair of photons described by the two-photon wave function

\[ |\Psi⟩ \propto \int d\omega_i \, d\omega_d \, \phi(\omega_i, \omega_d) |\omega_i⟩_s |\omega_d⟩_l, \]  

where

\[ \phi(\omega_i, \omega_d) = \frac{E_P(\omega_i, \omega_d)}{n_p(\omega_i + \omega_d)} e^{i(k_0z - \omega t)}, \]  

\[ n_p(\omega_i + \omega_d) \]  

is the refractive index for the pump polarization. Here, and for the rest of the paper, we use the variable \( k \) to refer to the component of the pump wave vector along the \( z \) axis. The ket \(|\omega_i⟩_s |\omega_d⟩_l\) represents a signal photon in the frequency mode \( \omega_s \) and an idler photon in the frequency mode \( \omega_i \) with corresponding propagation constants \( \beta_s(\omega_s) \) and \( \beta_i(\omega_i) \), respectively. Equation (3) conveys the main result of this paper: Assuming the dispersion properties of the medium are known, it is possible to generate a down-converted photon pair with arbitrary joint spectrum by appropriately engineering the spatial and temporal characteristics of the pump pulse.

In Fig. 1(a), the pump pulse is parametrized by three numbers: the center frequency, the temporal coherence length \( \sigma_\tau \), and the spatial coherence length \( \sigma_x \). These parameters may be chosen to produce degenerate SPDC with controllable entanglement, as described in Ref. [5]; however, in order to obtain independent control of the center frequency and bandwidth of each SPDC photon, one must relax the constraints on the pump pulse, as in Fig. 1(b). Using Eqs. (1) and (3), it is straightforward to show that a pump pulse described by

\[ \tilde{E}_P(k, \omega) \propto \exp \left[ - \frac{(n_p k - k_p)^2 + (\omega - \omega_p)\beta_s^2}{2\beta_s^2\sigma_x^2} \right] \right] \]  

will yield the following frequency-uncorrelated two-photon state:

\[ |\psi⟩ \propto \int d\omega_i \, d\omega_d \, \phi(\omega_i, \omega_d) |\omega_i⟩_s |\omega_d⟩_l, \]  

\[ \phi(\omega_i, \omega_d) = \frac{E_P(\omega_i, \omega_d)}{n_p(\omega_i + \omega_d)} e^{i(k_0z - \omega t)}, \]  

\[ n_p(\omega_i + \omega_d) \]  

is the refractive index for the pump polarization. Here, and for the rest of the paper, we use the variable \( k \) to refer to the component of the pump wave vector along the \( z \) axis. The ket \(|\omega_i⟩_s |\omega_d⟩_l\) represents a signal photon in the frequency mode \( \omega_s \) and an idler photon in the frequency mode \( \omega_i \) with corresponding propagation constants \( \beta_s(\omega_s) \) and \( \beta_i(\omega_i) \), respectively. Equation (3) conveys the main result of this paper: Assuming the dispersion properties of the medium are known, it is possible to generate a down-converted photon pair with arbitrary joint spectrum by appropriately engineering the spatial and temporal characteristics of the pump pulse.

In Fig. 1(a), the pump pulse is parametrized by three numbers: the center frequency, the temporal coherence length \( \sigma_\tau \), and the spatial coherence length \( \sigma_x \). These parameters may be chosen to produce degenerate SPDC with controllable entanglement, as described in Ref. [5]; however, in order to obtain independent control of the center frequency and bandwidth of each SPDC photon, one must relax the constraints on the pump pulse, as in Fig. 1(b). Using Eqs. (1) and (3), it is straightforward to show that a pump pulse described by

\[ \tilde{E}_P(k, \omega) \propto \exp \left[ - \frac{(n_p k - k_p)^2 + (\omega - \omega_p)\beta_s^2}{2\beta_s^2\sigma_x^2} \right] \right] \]  

will yield the following frequency-uncorrelated two-photon state:
where $\omega_s^{(c)}$ and $\omega_i^{(c)}$ are the center frequencies of the signal and idler beams, respectively, and we have used the following definitions and approximations:
\begin{align}
  k_p &= \beta_i(\omega_s^{(c)}) - \beta_s(\omega_i^{(c)}), \\
  \omega_p &= \omega_s^{(c)} + \omega_i^{(c)}, \\
  \beta_j(\omega) &= \beta_i(\omega_j^{(c)}) + (\omega_j - \omega_j^{(c)})\beta_j', \quad j = s, i, \\
  n_p(\omega_i + \omega_s) &= n_p(\omega_s) = n_p.
\end{align}

These approximations are valid in typical situations; however, if required, more terms may be used at the expense of a more complicated expression for the pump pulse.

Equations (4) and (5) summarize the central result of this work. Taken together, these relations can be thought of as an algorithm for producing frequency-uncorrelated SPDC with arbitrary marginal spectra. The wave function in Eq. (5) describes a frequency-uncorrelated two-photon state in which the signal photon is centered on $\omega_s^{(c)}$ with a bandwidth $\sigma_s$, and the idler photon is centered on $\omega_i^{(c)}$ with a bandwidth $\sigma_i$. Note that these two photons are not themselves indistinguishable (unless $\omega_i^{(c)} = \omega_s^{(c)}$ and $\sigma_i = \sigma_s$). As previously mentioned, the indistinguishability arises in a multiphoton experiment when one photon of the pair enters an interferometer with one or more photons that have identical spectra. In this case, the lack of frequency correlations between the signal and idler prevents a loss of interferometric visibility by ensuring that spectral measurements on one photon of the pair will not reveal any spectral information about the other.

Equations (4)–(9) demonstrate that the four numbers $\omega_s^{(c)}, \omega_i^{(c)}, \sigma_s,$ and $\sigma_i$, along with the dispersion properties of the waveguide, are sufficient to determine the form of the pump pulse required to generate the desired wave function. We can simplify the description of the pump pulse by rewriting Eq. (4) as
\begin{equation}
  \tilde{E}_p(k, \omega) = \exp\left[-\frac{(\omega - \omega_p)^2}{2A} - \frac{(k - k_p/n_p + C(\omega - \omega_p))^2}{2B}\right],
\end{equation}
where
\begin{align}
  A &= \frac{\beta_i' + \beta_s'}{\sqrt{(\beta_i'/\sigma_s)^2 + (\beta_s'/\sigma_i)^2 - (\beta_i'/\sigma_s - \beta_s'/\sigma_i)^2/(\sigma_s\sigma_i)^2(\sigma_s^2 + \sigma_i^2)}}, \\
  B &= \frac{\beta_i' + \beta_s'}{n_p\sqrt{1/\sigma_s^2 + 1/\sigma_i^2}}.
\end{align}

The algorithm for creating the appropriate pump pulse to produce the state in Eq. (5) is as follows. A pulse is created with center frequency $\omega_p$, spectral bandwidth $A$, and spatial bandwidth $B$. Next, a dispersive element such as a wedge of quartz or a diffraction grating is used to correlate $k$ and $\omega$ by effecting the substitution
\begin{equation}
  k \to k + C(\omega - \omega_p).
\end{equation}

Finally, the pulse is directed toward the nonlinear waveguide at incidence angle
\begin{equation}
  \theta = \sin^{-1} \frac{k_p c}{n_p \omega_p},
\end{equation}
where $\theta$ is measured outside the waveguide [see Fig. 1(b)], and $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum.

In Fig. 2, we present a graphical depiction of the relationship between the pump pulse and the resulting two-photon state, for both auto-phase-matched SPDC [Fig. 2(a)] and generalized auto-phase-matched SPDC [Fig. 2(b)]. In both cases, a plot of $\tilde{E}_p(k, \omega)$ is superposed over the joint spectrum of the signal and idler photons. By plotting $\tilde{E}_p(k, \omega)$ at the correct location and on the correct inner axes, one can immediately infer the joint spectrum of the down-converted photons, simply by interpreting the plot using the outer axes. In Fig. 2(a), the nonzero portion of $\tilde{E}_p(k, \omega)$ is centered on the $\omega_s = \omega_i$ axis, and one of the inner axes is scaled by the
factor $\beta'$, which is the first derivative of $\beta(\omega)$ evaluated at $\omega^{(s)}$. In Fig. 2(b), the nonzero portion of $E_{p}(k,\omega)$ is located at a general position, and the inner axes are no longer orthogonal (unless $\beta'=\beta'$). Using this figure, the two desirable features of the two-photon joint spectrum (nondegeneracy and independently controlled bandwidths) are easily interpreted in terms of the pump pulse. That is, the nondegeneracy of the photon pair derives from the condition $k_p \neq 0$, which in turn derives from the non-normal incidence of the pump pulse. Similarly, the independent control of the two photons’ respective bandwidths derives from the cross-spectral correlation in the pump pulse [$E_{p}(k,\omega)$ does not factor into a function of $k$ times a function of $\omega$].

### III. Example: Polarization-Entangled Frequency-Uncorrelated SPDC from a BBO Waveguide

In the generalized auto-phase-matched technique, one can obtain polarization entanglement by adjusting the polarization state of the pump pulse, without sacrificing the spectral control described above. To illustrate this feature, we present an example involving nondegenerate, polarization-entangled SPDC produced in a single-mode BBO waveguide.

The general idea is to use two of the nonlinear medium’s $\chi^{(2)}$ tensor elements at the same time, by preparing a coherent superposition of two polarization modes of the pump pulse. When producing polarization-entangled photon pairs, it is typically desirable that a given photon have the same spectral properties for both two-photon polarization amplitudes. Therefore, in creating the pump pulse, we use the same four numbers $\omega^{(s)}, \omega^{(i)}, \sigma_p$, and $\sigma_i$ in calculating the desired pulse shape for both pump polarization modes. However, since the two-photon amplitudes relate to SPDC processes taking place in distinct polarization modes, the dispersion properties of the waveguide will in general be different. Thus, using the notation of Fig. 1, the pump pulse will be characterized by two functions: $E_{s}^{(p)}(k,\omega)$, which describes the y-polarized component of the pump pulse, and $E_{p}^{(p)}(k,\omega)$, which describes the z-polarized component of the pump pulse.

In the case of BBO, the relevant tensor elements are $\chi_{xxy}^{(2)}=2.22 \text{ pm/V}$ and $\chi_{xyy}^{(2)}=0.16 \text{ pm/V}$ [10]. Therefore, using the notation of Fig. 1, the pump beam will approach the waveguide in the $x$-$z$ plane, and will be composed of a y-polarized pulse and a z-polarized pulse. In Table I, we list the calculated values of $A, B, C$, and $\theta$ (defined in Sec. II) that correspond to a frequency-uncorrelated polarization-entangled pair of photons with the signal photon at 0.8 $\mu$m with coherence length 1 mm, and the idler photon at 1.5 $\mu$m with coherence length 1 cm. These values for center wavelength and coherence length were chosen in order to make the signal photon suitable for long-distance optical fiber transmission, and the idler photon suitable for local processing in an integrated optical circuit. In calculating the values in Table I, we have ignored waveguide dispersion, using instead the Sellmeier curves to describe the material dispersion in the BBO single-mode waveguide.

### IV. Quantum Information Processing with Integrated Optical Circuits

Generalized auto-phase-matched SPDC is particularly well suited for quantum information processing on an integrated optical circuit (see Fig. 3). Among the advantages of replacing an array of discrete optical elements with an integrated optical circuit are the following: reduced size, reduced loss due to fewer connectors, and “common mode” noise processes because of the close proximity of optical elements. However, there are substantial experimental challenges associated with constructing an integrated optical quantum information processor. Perhaps the most obvious challenge is finding a material that can perform as many of the required functions (photon source, modulation, detection) as possible. A significant advantage of generalized auto-phase-matched SPDC is that the choice of material places essentially no limitation on the spectral and polarization properties of the photon pairs that will be produced. All that is required is that the material’s $\chi^{(2)}$ tensor have the appropriate nonzero elements such that, for a given orientation of the optic axis with respect to the waveguide, the desired SPDC process will occur.
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**FIG. 3.** A conceptual schematic of an integrated optical quantum information processor. The entire integrated circuit is constructed on a nonlinear material such that any stretch of waveguide may be used as a source of counterpropagating photon pairs. In the text, we describe how generalized auto-phase-matched SPDC is particularly well suited for this application.
Figure 3 depicts a conceptual schematic of an integrated optical quantum information processor which employs generalized auto-phase-matched SPDC for generating photons. The figure highlights several of the practical advantages associated with this technology. First, the sources may be placed at the edge of the circuit and combined with single-photon counters to implement conditional single-photon sources. Second, due to the transverse-pump configuration, the photon pairs may be created within the interior of the optical circuit. Finally, since there is no group-velocity matching relation associated with generalized auto-phase-matched SPDC, poling of the nonlinear waveguide at each source is not required.

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

We have described a scheme for generating polarization-entangled photon pairs with arbitrary spectrum. By controlling the spatial, temporal, and polarization properties of the pump pulse, it is possible to generate the desired two-photon state, regardless of the dispersion properties of the nonlinear medium. We provided a calculation of the parameters describing the pump pulse required to generate a photon pair with a particular joint spectrum in a single-mode BBO waveguide. Finally, we discussed the role this source technology might play in a distributed quantum information processor based on integrated optics.
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