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Introduction

It has been shown that the neural spike trains transmitted along primary fibers in the cochlear nerve can be described by a dead-time-modified fractal point process (Teich, 1989). These spike trains manifest highly irregular firing rates, irregularly shaped pulse-number distributions (even when the number of samples is large), and fractional power-law behavior in the Fano-factor time curve with a fractional power-law exponent that appears to depend on the level of stimulation (Teich and Khanna, 1985; Teich and Turcott, 1988; Teich, Johnson, Kumar and Turcott, 1990).

This fractal behavior is not manifested over short time scales (<100 msec), so it will not be revealed by certain types of measurements, such as pulse-interval distributions and post-stimulus time histograms. Point-process models based on such measures may therefore be used with confidence only for modeling short-term effects. Indeed, the dead-time-modified Poisson point process model (Cox, 1962) has achieved its success in this domain.

In this paper we show that a particular type of fractal point process that we have developed, the dead-time-modified fractal doubly stochastic Poisson point process (FDSPP), exhibits behavior that is consistent with all of the experimental statistics of spontaneous and pure-tone driven VIIIth-nerve action potentials examined to date. FDSPPs are generally not members of the renewal family of processes; rather, they are generated as a result of long-term fractal correlations. Particular attention is devoted to two specific examples of this process: the fractal-shot-noise-driven (FSND) DSPP and one of its special cases, the fractal-Gaussian-noise-driven (FGND) DSPP (Lowen and Teich, 1989, 1990). This particular process can arise from physiologically plausible nerve-spike generation models, as indicated briefly at the end of this paper.

Because refractoriness in the auditory nerve, as elsewhere in the nervous system, has both absolute and relative components (Teich and Diamant, 1980; Teich, 1985), it is appropriate to modify the FDSPP by "sick time" rather than dead time. Nevertheless, a suitable approximation is provided by dead time.

Identification of the Neural Point Process

The sequence of action potentials recorded from spontaneously firing and driven adult cat high-frequency auditory nerve fibers has been used to construct a number of experimental measures, including the pulse-interval distribution (PID), pulse-number distribution (PNP), Fano-factor time curve (FPC), serial count correlation coefficient (SCE), and normalized range (NR). The collection of these statistics provides a rather comprehensive picture of the underlying neural spike train and enables us to make reasonable conjectures about the point process that describes these events (Teich, Turcott and Lowen, 1990).

FIGURE 1 a) Pulse-interval distribution (PID) for 405 sec of data from a spontaneously firing high-frequency auditory nerve fiber (Unit A) with mean inter-spike interval \( \tau_s = 15.3 \) msec and dead time \( \tau_d = 3 \) msec (solid curve). Also shown are the results of simulations for three theoretical models, all of which incorporate a fixed dead time: the Poisson point process (PP), the renewal fractal point process (RPP), and the fractal doubly stochastic Poisson point process (FDSPP). The model parameters are chosen to give approximately the same mean inter-spike interval and refractoriness as the data. Additional parameters used in the RPP simulation are \( \alpha = 0.7 \) and \( \tau_s = 100 \) sec. These values were selected so that the point process would exhibit fractal behavior over the time scales of interest, and yet avoid excessively large intervals which leads to computational difficulty in estimating the various statistical measures. Additional parameters used in the FDSPP simulation are \( \alpha = 0.65 \) and \( \tau_s = 400 \) msec. The PID for the FDSPP fit the data, but the RPP does not.

b) PID for 800 sec of data for this same fiber, but now in response to a pure-tone stimulus applied at the characteristic frequency (CF) of the unit. The mean inter-spike interval \( \langle \tau_s \rangle = 8.1 \) msec and the dead time \( \tau_d = 2 \) msec (solid curve). The reduced mean interevent time is reflected in the greater negative slope on this semi-logarithmic plot. The PID for the RPP closely resembles that shown in a) and is therefore not illustrated. Again, the simulated FDSPP (modified by fixed dead time), with model parameters chosen to give approximately the same mean inter-spike interval, refractoriness, fractal dimension \( \alpha = 0.86 \), and fractal onset time \( \tau_d = 40 \) msec as the data, provides a good fit.
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The PID, PND, and FFC are, by now, well-known statistics that have been described in detail elsewhere (Teich and Khanna, 1985; Teich and Turetta, 1988; Teich, 1989). The SCC and R/S provide estimates of the degree of serial correlation in the data set. The SCC gives the correlation between the numbers of neural spikes in adjacent counting periods, and is generally a function of the counting time $T$. The R/S measure reflects correlations among collections of large numbers of interspike intervals. It has the advantage of being a valid measure even when the data exhibit extremely long-term correlations, as well as large (or infinite) variance; these are characteristics that can cause a process to appear nonstationary and are consequently deleterious to standard measures (Mandelbrot, 1983).

We have compared these experimental statistics with those predicted by several theoretical models, including a dead-time-modified Poisson point process (PP), a dead-time-modified renewal fractal point process (RPP), and a dead-time-modified fractal doubly stochastic Poisson point process (FDSP). We have performed simulations using various forms of this latter process, and found that both the FSND-DSP and the FGND-DSP exhibit behavior that accords with all of these statistics. The PP and RPP, in contrast, do not.

The PP is well-known. As shown in Fig. 1, it gives rise to PIDs that agree well with both spontaneous and driven data. However, as illustrated for spontaneous firings in Fig. 2, its PND ($T = 1$ sec) is narrower than that of the data, while its FCC and SCC remain flat for large times rather than increasing with $T$, and rescaled range analysis leads to the $k^{1/2}$ law rather than the steeper curve that describes the data. The PP fails to describe the driven data in the same way.

Because the RPP is less well-known, we provide a brief discussion of its behavior. A renewal point process with interevent times governed by the hyperbolic distribution is invariant to certain scaling transformations (Mandelbrot, 1965), and therefore exhibits fractal characteristics. Its pulse-interval distribution $p(t)$ is

$$p(t) = \begin{cases} A t^{-\alpha}, & 0 < t \leq c \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The quantity $A$ normalizes the distribution, and is a function of the other parameters. As shown in Fig. 1, its PID exhibits a reduction of interspike intervals with values near the mean and a concurrent enhancement of long and short intervals, relative to that of the Poisson. Because of its renewal and fractal nature, we refer to this process as the renewal fractal point process (RFP).

Such large-variance interspike intervals can lead to long-term spike correlations. Even though the interspike intervals of the RFP are independent, the numbers of spikes occurring in successive counting periods of fixed time $T$ are not. For example, with the decreased proportion of intermediate interspike intervals, given a low number of spikes in a particular counting period we know with high probability that this period fell within a long interspike interval. Because of this the following counting period is also likely to fall within the same interspike interval, and is therefore also likely to register a low number of

---

**FIGURE 2**

a) Pulse-number distribution (PND) constructed from the same spontaneous spike train as the PID shown in Fig. 1a, using a counting time $T = 1$ sec (solid curve). The PND is the probability $p(t)$ of observing a spike in the observation time $T$, versus the number of spikes $n$. PNDs from simulations of the three theoretical models are also shown. The model parameters are chosen to give the same mean count as the data. The PND obtained from the FDSP resembles the data. On the other hand, the PND obtained from the PP is narrower than the data while that obtained from the RFP is far broader than the data. b) The Fano-factor time curve (FFC) is constructed from the PND; it is the ratio of the count variance to the count mean for different counting times $T$. For PNDR nerve data, $A(T)$ typically grows in power-law fashion as $T^\alpha (0 < \alpha < 1)$ for sufficiently large counting times, implying a power-law normalized coincidence rate and a power-law form for the power spectral density at low frequencies (Teich, 1989). Again the FFC obtained from the FDSP resembles the data quite closely whereas the FFC of the PP and the RFP deviate substantially from it, even though the latter does exhibit power-law behavior. c) The serial count correlation coefficient (SCC) gives the correlation between the numbers of neural spikes in adjacent counting periods, and is in general a function of $T$. For the PP, $\alpha = 0$ so that $C(T) = 0$ as is evident in the figure for sufficiently large counting times (the dip in the curve in the vicinity of 2 sec, which arises from dead time, would be moderated were the spike interval used instead). Once again the SCC obtained from the FDSP closely resembles the data while the SCCs associated with the PP and the RFP deviate substantially from it. In the domain of counting times where the Fano-factor time curve behaves as $T^\alpha$, $C(T)$ plateaus at the value $2^\alpha - 1$ as required by the relation between these parameters. d) Whereas the SCC reflects correlations between successive counts, the R/S parameter reflects correlations among interspike intervals. This measure is obtained by first estimating the interval mean and standard deviation in a block of interspike intervals of size $k$. For each of the $k$ intervals, the difference between the value of the interval and the mean value is obtained and the result is successively added to a cumulative sum. The range is defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum values achieved within the cumulative sum, and this is normalized by the sample standard deviation to give $R(k)$. The normalized range of sums $R(k)$ is estimated for increasing values of $k$ and plotted against $k$. With $R(k)$ proportional to $k^\beta$, $\beta > 0.5$ indicates positive correlation, $\beta < 0.5$ indicates negative correlation, and $\beta = 0.5$ indicates uncorrelated intervals (Hurst, 1951; Feller, 1951). The renewal nature of the PP and RFP cause $R(k)$ to behave as $k^{1/2}$; the data and the results from the FDSP rise more steeply, indicating positive correlation for collections of large numbers of interspike intervals.
spikes. On the other hand, if a large number of spikes is registered in a particular counting period, it is unlikely that this counting period contains a long interspike interval and, since short interspike intervals are far more likely, it is highly probable that the following spike number will also be large. Indeed, sample functions of this point process have a strongly clustered appearance. Expanding the time scale surrounding a cluster of spikes generally reveals subclusters. For the Poisson process, on the other hand, the exponential nature of the interevent-time distribution, along with the independence of successive intervals, guarantees that the spike counts are independent.

The independence of the interspike intervals of the RPP leads to the same RS behavior as the Poisson, as is evident in Fig. 2d. At the same time, the correlation among successive counts is apparent in the serial count correlation coefficient shown in Fig. 2c. It is this correlation that gives rise to the very broad pulse-number distribution shown in Fig. 2b and a Fano-factor time curve that increases with $T$ in power-law fashion, as illustrated in Fig. 2b. As is evident from Figs. 1 and 2, the RPP does not model the data well.

FIGURE 3 a) PND ($T = 1$ sec), b) FFC, c) SCC, and d) RS constructed from the same 800-sec driven spike train as that used in Fig. 1b for the PID. The renewal processes are not represented since their behavior is similar to that presented in Fig. 2. The fractal dimension revealed by the slope of the FFC curve is approximately $a = 0.66$, which is greater than the value observed in Fig. 2b for spontaneous firing ($a = 0.65$). The increased FFC exponent is reflected in a larger count-correlation estimate, as is evident in the SCC curve (compare with Fig. 2c). The increase in $a$ and $C(T)$ under stimulation has been observed in all VIII-nerve fibers examined to date. All of the measures constructed from a simulated FDSPP, with the same parameters as reported in Fig. 1b, resemble the neural-spike data quite closely.

In contrast, the FDSPP, and in particular the FSND-DSP and the FGND-DSP, give results that are largely indistinguishable from the experimental statistics that we have examined both for spontaneous firings (Fig. 2) and for driven firings (Fig. 3), and we identify it as the point process that characterizes the auditory neural spike train (Teich, Turcott and Lowen, 1990). Its behavior arises from long-term fractal rate correlations which can be removed by randomly shuffling the interspike intervals, as shown in Figs. 4 and 5 for spontaneous and driven data respectively.

FIGURE 4 Same as Fig. 2 (spontaneous firings) except that the interspike intervals were randomly shuffled for both the experimental and simulated spike trains before constructing these measures. Several observations merit mention. First, measures constructed from the FP and FPP are not altered by shuffling. This is as expected since both are renewal processes which, by definition, have independent and identically distributed interspike intervals. Second, measures constructed from the VIII-nerve data are altered substantially by shuffling, which provides unequivocal evidence that the auditory neural events are not describable by a renewal process. Third, measures constructed from the FDSPP behave in the same way as measures constructed from the data, providing additional evidence that it is a good model for the neural point process. Finally, the results for the data and the FDSPP are indistinguishable from those of the PP after random shuffling. The shuffling removes the long-term fractal rate correlations, leaving a residue of intrinsically irreducible fluctuations, which is simply the dead-time-modified homogeneous Poisson point process. This result is consistent with the data provided in Fig. 1a where it was demonstrated that the PID for the VIII-nerve data, the FDSPP, and the PP are essentially the same.
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It is of interest to note that the FFC exponent \( a \) (i.e., the fractal dimension) increases when the unit is driven, as does the serial count correlation coefficient for long counting times as reflected in the SCC curve. All of the VIII-nerve fibers we have examined to date, when presented with pure-tone stimuli, reveal an increase in the value of the fractal dimension, correlation coefficient, and firing rate (Teich, Johnson, Kumar and Turcott, 1990).

![Graphs illustrating fractal spike train characteristics](image)

**FIGURE 5** Same as Fig. 3 (driven firings) except that the interspike intervals were randomly shuffled for both the experimental and simulated spike trains before constructing these measures. As with the spontaneous data, measures constructed from the VIII-nerve data are altered substantially by shuffling, which provides confirming evidence that the auditory neural events are not describable by a renewal process. Measures constructed from the FDSPP behave in the same way as those constructed from the data, so that this model appears to be suitable for describing the neural point process in the presence of a pure-tone stimulus as well as in its absence. The shuffled results for both the data and the simulation are indistinguishable from those of a Poisson point process since shuffling the intervals is similar to generating a renewal point process using the FID, and the PID of both the data and the FDSPP are approximately exponential.

---

**On Possible Origins of the Fractal Behavior**

The underlying fractal behavior of the VIII-nerve spike train could originate at any of a number of peripheral loci (Teich, 1989) including nonlinear cellular vibrations in the Organ of Corti (Teich, Khanna and Keiison, 1989), chaotic oscillations of the membrane potential, neurotransmitter diffusion, and fractal ionic channel openings and closings. The FIDSP1 turns out to be useful for modeling several of these possibilities.
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