Who Participates in Focus Groups? Diagnosing Self-Selection Appendix

Taylor C. Boas, Boston University

November 24, 2023

1 Analysis of Journal Articles

To assess how scholars publishing in top political science journals use focus groups in their research, I examined all articles published in print in the *American Political Science Review*, *American Journal of Political Science*, and *Journal of Politics* between 2013 and 2022. These journals are consistently ranked as the top three in reputational surveys of political scientists (Garand and Giles, 2003; Garand et al., 2009; McLean et al., 2009). I searched the full text of these three journals (using ProQuest for APSR, Wiley Online Library for AJPS, and University of Chicago Press Journals for JOP) for articles containing the phrase "focus group" or "focus groups." Reviewing the resulting list of articles, I identified 36 that conveyed findings from original focus groups, along with 21 that only use the phrase in passing or in the bibliography or that analyze focus groups conducted by others.

The 36 articles using original focus groups, and the variables on which I coded them, are listed in Table 1. *Recruitment Details* and *Descriptive Statistics* report whether the article or its online appendix provide any information about the recruitment process or any descriptive statistics on focus group participants. I also coded whether articles compared focus group participants to a relevant baseline, such as sampling frame, national census, or representative survey, but none did, so this column is omitted. *Purpose* describes the analytical purpose that focus groups serve: to inform a study's research design, such as developing or pretesting experimental treatments or survey instruments; to triangulate other sources of evidence, including Cyr's (2016) category of "integration"; to measure the study's dependent variable; and to administer an experimental treatment. *Combo With* describes the main method of the study: field experiment, survey experiment, lab-in-the-field experiment, or quantitative analysis of observational data. Finally, *Percent of Text* reports what percentage of the article's overall word count conveyed focus group findings and design details; online appendices are excluded from both the numerator and denominator.

Table 1: Research Using Focus Groups, 2013–2022

d Weinstein (2022) Colombia IR/CP 0 Design of Field exp. r (2020) India CP 0 1 Triangulate of Observational of End exp. r (2020) India CP 1 1 Triangulate of Deservational of End exp. r (2020) Afghanistan CP 0 0 Triangulate of Deservational of End exp. r (2020) Afghanistan CP 0 0 Triangulate of Deservational of End exp. gan (2015) Cote of Ivoire, Ghana CP 0 0 Design of End exp. gan (2015) Indonesia CP 0 0 Design of End exp. n (2013) Indonesia CP 0 0 Design of Deservational of Design of End exp. n (2013) Afghanistan CP 0 0 Design of Design of End exp. n (2013) Afghanistan CP 0 Design of Design of End exp. n (2013) Afghanistan CP 0 Design of End exp. n (2013) Afghanistan CP 0	Article	Country	Subfield	Recruitment Details	Descriptive Statistics	Purpose	Combo With	Percent of Text
Field exp. Colombia R/CP 0 0 Design Field exp.	APSR							
Crossonam and Weinstein (2022) Uganda IRCP 0 Design Fleed exp. gipta and Kapar (2020) India CP 0 0 Design Fleed exp. gipta and Kapar (2020) Brazil CP 1 1 Triangulate Observational 1. Zhou and Imni (2020) Uganda CP 0 0 1 Triangulate Fleed exp. 1. Zhou and Imni (2020) Uganda CP 0 0 0 1 Triangulate Fleed exp. crasson and Michelitch (2018) Uganda CP 0 0 0 Design Fleed exp. crasson and Michelitch (2014) Croe of Thoris CP 0 0 Design Fleed exp. crasson and Gilligan (2015) Afghanistan IRCP 0 Design Observational crasson and Gilligan (2014) Afghanistan IRCP 0 Design Observational All Contraction and Contractional Activation and Emikolopov (2013) Afghanistan CP 0 Design Observatio	Blair et al. (2022)	Colombia	IR/CP	0	0	Design	Field exp.	0.31
india CQ21) India CP 10 1 Triangulate Observational Lizmon and Malo (2021) India CP 10 1 Triangulate Observational Lizmon and Malo (2020) India CP 1 1 Triangulate Observational Lizmon and India CP 1 1 Triangulate Observational Lizmon and India CD20) Afghanistan CP 0 1 Triangulate Observational CP 1 1 Triangulate Observational CP 1 1 Triangulate CD5 CD4 CD 1 Triangulate CD5 CD5 CD4 CD 1 CD4 CD 1 CD4 CD4 CD 1 CD4 CD4 CD 1 CD4	Blair, Grossman and Weinstein (2022)	Uganda	IR/CP	0	0	Triangulate	Observational	0.71
gipta and Faring (2020) Incide CP 1 Triangulate Observational Jean (Arghunistan (1920) CP 1 Triangulate (Observational Jean) (Arghunistan (1920) CP 0 Triangulate (Observational Fedel exp. (Arghunistan (1920)) CP 0 Triangulate (Observational Fedel exp. (Arghunistan (1920)) CP 0 Triangulate (Observational Fedel exp. (Arghunistan (1921)) CP 0 0 Triangulate (Observational Fedel exp. (Arghunistan (1921)) CP 0 0 Design (Observational (1921)) CP 0 0 Design (Observational (1921)) Observational (1921) Observational	Badrinathan (2021)	India	CP	0	0	Design	Field exp.	0.52
Land France-Navance and Melo (2020) Brazil CP 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dasgupta and Kapur (2020)	India	CP	П	1	Triangulate	Observational	5.11
1, Zhou and Innai (2020) Afghanistan IRCP 1 1 (Field exp. asman and Michalich (2018) Ligandud CP 0 0 Triangulate Field exp. and Call (2017) saman and Michalich (2018) Grand CP 0 0 0 0 Field exp. and Call (2017) cenko and Gilligan (2014) Coked Tvoire, Ghana CP 0 0 Design Field exp. and Call (2014) Afghanistan CP 0 0 0 Field exp. and Call (2014) Afghanistan CP 0 0 0 Design Field exp. and Call (2014) Afghanistan CP 0 0 Design Obestyn (2014) Afghanistan CP 0 0 Design Obestyn (2014) Afghanistan CP 1 0 DV measure Field exp. and Call (2012) Afghanistan CP 1 0 DV measure Field exp. and Call (2012) Afghanistan CP 1 0 DV measure Field exp. and Call (2012) Afghan	Magaloni, Franco-Vivanco and Melo (2020)	Brazil	CP	0	0	Triangulate	Observational	0.11
Standard (2017) Uganda CP O Triangulate Field exp.	Lyall, Zhou and Imai (2020)	Afghanistan	IR/CP	1	0	Triangulate	Field exp.	0.91
India	Grossman and Michelitch (2018)	Uganda	CP	0	0	Triangulate	Field exp.	0.26
Sudan CP O Design Field exp. 2utility (2014) Cote of Ivoire, Ghana CP O O Design Field exp. 2utility (2014) India CP O O O Design Field exp. Cote of Ivoire, Ghana CP O O O Design Field exp. Cote of Ivoire, Ghana CP O O O Design Field exp. CT O Design CT O Design Field exp. CT O Design	Williams (2017)	Ghana	CP	0	0	Triangulate	Observational	1.44
3.alle (2014) Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana CP 0 Design Field exp. 1. Bair and Inai (2013) Afghanistan CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational 1. Bair and Inai (2013) Afghanistan CP 0 0 Design Field exp. 1. Christia and Enikolopov (2013) Afghanistan CP 0 Design Field exp. 1. Christia and Enikolopov (2013) Afghanistan CP 1 D Design Field exp. 1. Libria Bolivia CP 1 DV, Triang Observational 1. Liberia CP 1 DV, Triang Observational 1. Liberia CP 0 Triangulate Observational 1. Liberia </td <td>Avdeenko and Gilligan (2015)</td> <td>Sudan</td> <td>CP</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>Design</td> <td>Field exp.</td> <td>0.63</td>	Avdeenko and Gilligan (2015)	Sudan	CP	0	0	Design	Field exp.	0.63
thilding CP 0 Triangulate Observational observational observational observational objects India concess CP 0 Design of Design o	McCauley (2014)	Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana	CP	0	0	Design	Field exp.	0.81
Heading and Flair (2013)	Thachil (2014)	India	CP	0	0	Triangulate	Observational	0.39
Field exp. Fie	Lyall, Blair and Imai (2013)	Afghanistan	IR/CP	0	0	Design	Survey exp.	0.15
th, Christia and Enikolopov (2013) Afghanistan CP 1 DV measure Field exp. stract (2022) Honduras CP 1 D. Esign Observational Observational Survay exp. sey (2022) DR Congo IR/CP 0 D. Esign Observational Observational Survay exp. sex Larreguy and Liu (2020) Liberia CP 0 D. Esign Observational Observational Survay exp. des. Larreguy and Liu (2020) Uganda CP 0 O. Triangulate Observational Observational Survay exp. des. Larreguy and Liu (2020) Brazil CP 0 O. Triangulate Observational Observational Observational Observational Observational Survay exp. des. Larreguy and Kladka (2020) Costa Rica CP 0 O. Triangulate Observational Obse	Paler (2013)	Indonesia	C	0	0	Design	Field exp.	0.41
Honduras CP 1 Design Observational	Beath, Christia and Enikolopov (2013)	Afghanistan	СР	\vdash	0	DV measure	Field exp.	1.05
ina-Garzón et al. (2022) Honduras CP 1 Design Observational langual les (2022) DR Congo IR/CP 1 1 Dx, Triang Observational survey (xp. p. p	AJPS							
leey (2022) DR Congo IR/CP 1 DV, Triang Observational truct (2021) Bolivia CP 0 0 Design Survey exp. Ans. Larreguy and Liu (2020) Liberia CP 1 0 Triangulate Observational Ans. Larreguy and Liu (2020) South Sudan IR/CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational S, Hidalgo and Melo (2019) Brazil CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational S, Hidalgo and Melo (2019) Costa Rica CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational Action of et al. (2016) Costa Rica CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational Action of et al. (2016) Renya CP 0 0 Design Observational Action of et al. (2016) Afghanistan IR/CP 0 0 Design Survey exp. Action of Experiment of E	Molina-Garzón et al. (2022)	Honduras	CB	П		Design	Observational	0.34
the colors Bolivia CP 0 Design Survey exp. des. Larreguy and Liu (2020) Liberia CP 1 0 Triangulate Observational Observational South Sudan IRCP 0 0 Triangulate Observational Survey exp. at et al. (2020) Uganda CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational Survey exp. at et al. (2016) Costa Rica CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational Survey exp. acho et al. (2016) Kenya CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational Survey exp. acho et al. (2016) Kenya CP 0 0 Design Observational Survey exp. ann an and Mwangi (2015) Kenya CP 0 0 Design Observational Survey exp. go, Caneti mand Hyall (2014) Nepal IRCP 0 0 Design Observational Survey exp. synday Pasquale and Samii (2014) Nepal IRCP 0 0 Design Include exp. synday Pasquale and Samii (2014) Nepal Rezign CP 0<	Lindsey (2022)	DR Congo	IR/CP	П	\Box	DV, Triang.	Observational	18.38
des. Lareguy and Liu (2020) Liberia CP 1 0 Triangulate observational control of triangulate observational control of triangulate observational control of triangulate observational control of cost a Rica (2020) CP 0 0 Triangulate observational control of triangulate observational control of cost a Rica (2020) CP 0 0 Triangulate observational control of triangulate observational control of cost a Rica (2021) CP 0 0 Design observational control of triangulate observational control of cost a Rica (2021) Afghanistan (2014) RCP (2021) 0 Design observational control of cost (2021) Design observational control of cost (2021) Observational control of cost (2021) Design of cost (2021) Triangulate control of cost (2021) Design of cost (2021) Design observational control of cost (2021) Design of cost (2021) Design of cost (2021) Design of cost (2021) Design observational control of cost (2021) Design of c	Poertner (2021)	Bolivia	CP	0	0	Design	Survey exp.	1.57
s and Khadka (2020) South Sudan IRCP 0 Triangulate Survey exp. ali et al. (2020) Uganda CP 0 1 Triangulate Observational as, Hidalgo and Melo (2019) Brazil CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational achoet al. (2015) Kenya CP 0 0 Design Survey exp. go, Canetti and Mwangi (2014) Afghanistan IRCP 0 0 Design Survey exp. go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Palestine CP 0 Design Survey exp. go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Palestine CP 0 Design Observational go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IRCP 0 Design Observational go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IRCP 0 Design Observational go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IRCP 0 Design Observational sman, Humphreys and Sarian (2021) India CP 0 <t< td=""><td>Bowles, Larreguy and Liu (2020)</td><td>Liberia</td><td>CP</td><td>П</td><td>0</td><td>Triangulate</td><td>Observational</td><td>1.91</td></t<>	Bowles, Larreguy and Liu (2020)	Liberia	CP	П	0	Triangulate	Observational	1.91
ali et al. (2020) Uganda CP 0 Triangulate Observational As, Hidalgo and Melo (2019) Brazil CP 0 Triangulate Field exp. Accho et al. (2016) Costa Rica CP 0 Design Survey exp. Arghanistan Renya CP 0 0 Triangulate Piele exp. Ago, Caneird (2014) Afghanistan IR/CP 0 0 Design Survey exp. Bgo, Caneird and Hite-Rubin (2014) Arghanistan IR/CP 0 0 Design Observational Agon, Pasquale and Samiii (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 0 Design Caberrational Ashali (2012) India CP 0 0 Design Field exp. Ashali (2012) India CP 0 0 Design Poservational Ashali (2021) India CP 0 0 Design Poservational Ashali (2018) Brazil CP 0 0 Design <td>Haas and Khadka (2020)</td> <td>South Sudan</td> <td>IR/CP</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>Triangulate</td> <td>Survey exp.</td> <td>3.06</td>	Haas and Khadka (2020)	South Sudan	IR/CP	0	0	Triangulate	Survey exp.	3.06
s, Hidalgo and Melo (2019) Brazil CP 0 Triangulate Field exp. cacho et al. (2016) Costa Rica CP 0 Design Survey exp. cman and Mwangi (2015) Kenya CP 0 Design Survey exp. r, Imai and Lyall (2014) Palestine CP 0 Design Observational go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 Design Observational sgo, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 Design Observational sgo, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 Design Observational sgo, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 Design Observational sgan, Pasquale and Samii (2014) Brazil CP 0 Design Che triangulate Lab-in-field s, Hidalgo and Toral (2021) Uganda CP 0 Design Field exp. s, C2020) Mexico CP 0 Design Observational <	Ferrali et al. (2020)	Uganda	CP	0	0	Triangulate	Observational	1.50
Sach oet al. (2016) Costa Rica CP 0 Design Survey exp. eman and Mwangi (2015) Kenya CP 0 Triangulate Observational r, Imai and Lyall (2014) Afghanistan IR/CP 0 Triangulate Observational go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Palestine CP 0 Design Observational go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 Design Observational go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 Triangulate Lab-in-field S, Hidalgo and Toral (2021) India CP 0 Design Field exp. \$ and Gaikwad (2021) India CP 0 Design Observational seman, Humphreys and Sacramone-Lutz (2020) Uganda CP 0 Design Observational shall (2019) Mexico CP 0 0 Design Observational der Windt (2018) DR Congo CP 0 0 Design Survey exp. <	Boas, Hidalgo and Melo (2019)	Brazil	CP	0	0	Triangulate	Field exp.	4.09
man and Mwangi (2015) Kenya CP 0 Triangulate Observational r, Imai and Lyall (2014) Afghanistan IR/CP 0 Design Survey exp. go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Palestine CP 0 Design Survey exp. go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 Design Survey exp. go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 Design Observational s, Hidalgo and Toral (2021) Brazil CP 0 Design Field exp. é and Gaikwad (2021) India CP 0 Design Field exp. é and Gaikwad (2021) India CP 0 Design Field exp. f (2020) India CP 0 Design Survey exp. f (2020) Mexico CP 0 Design Survey exp. f (2018) Brazil CP 0 Design Survey exp. f (2018) Triangulate Operational Oper	Corbacho et al. (2016)	Costa Rica	C	0	0	Design	Survey exp.	0.19
r, Imai and Lyall (2014) Afghanistan IR/CP 0 Design Survey exp. go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Palestine CP 0 Design Observational igan, Pasquale and Samii (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 0 Triangulate Lab-in-field s, Hidalgo and Toral (2021) Brazil CP 0 0 Design Field exp. é and Gaikwad (2021) India CP 0 Design Field exp. ssman, Humphreys and Sacramone-Lutz (2020) Uganda CP 0 Design Field exp. f (2020) India CP 0 Design Field exp. f (2020) Mexico CP 0 Design Survey exp. f (2020) Mexico CP 0 Design Survey exp. f (2020) DR Congo CP 0 Design Survey exp. f (2018) Pazzil CP 0 Design Survey exp. f (2018) Pazzil CP <t< td=""><td>Coleman and Mwangi (2015)</td><td>Kenya</td><td>C</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>Triangulate</td><td>Observational</td><td>2.24</td></t<>	Coleman and Mwangi (2015)	Kenya	C	0	0	Triangulate	Observational	2.24
go, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014) Palestine CP 0 Design Observational igan, Pasquale and Samii (2014) Nepal IR/CP 0 0 Triangulate Lab-in-field s, Hidalgo and Toral (2021) India CP 0 0 Design Field exp. ε and Gaikwad (2021) India CP 0 Design Observational ssman, Humphreys and Sacramone-Lutz (2020) Uganda CP 0 Design Field exp. ε (2020) India CP 0 Design Observational shall (2019) Mexico CP 0 Design Observational der Windt (2018) Brazil CP 0 Design Survey exp. der Windt (2018) Tunisia CP 0 Design Survey exp. r-Shapiro and Winters (2017) Brazil CP 0 Design Field exp. r-Shapiro and Winters (2017) Mexico CP 0 Design Field exp.	Blair, Imai and Lyall (2014)	Afghanistan	IR/CP	0	0	Design	Survey exp.	0.96
s, Hidalgo and Toral (2021) Brazil CP 0 Triangulate Lab-in-field e, Hidalgo and Toral (2021) India CP 0 0 besign Field exp. é and Gaikwad (2021) India CP 0 0 besign Observational sman, Humphreys and Sacramone-Lutz (2020) Uganda CP 0 Design Field exp. é (2020) India CP 0 Design Observational shall (2019) Mexico CP 0 Design Observational der Windt (2018) DR Congo CP 0 Triangulate Obs., survey exp. der Windt (2018) DR Congo CP 0 Treatment Field exp. ra-Shapiro and Winters (2017) Brazil CP 0 Design Survey exp. ra-Shapiro and Winters (2017) Mexico CP 0 Design Field exp.	Longo, Canetti and Hite-Rubin (2014)	Palestine	C	0	0	Design	Observational	0.29
s, Hidalgo and Toral (2021) Brazil CP 0 Design Field exp. é and Gaikwad (2021) India CP 0 Design Observational ssman, Humphreys and Sacramone-Lutz (2020) Uganda CP 0 Design Field exp. é (2020) India CP 0 Triangulate Observational shall (2018) Mexico CP 0 Triangulate Observational der Windt (2018) Brazil CP 0 Triangulate Obs., survey exp. der Windt (2018) DR Congo CP 0 Treatment Field exp. h and Prather (2017) Tunisia CP 0 Design Survey exp. rz-Shapiro and Winters (2017) Brazil CP 0 Design Field exp.	Gilligan, Pasquale and Samii (2014)	Nepal	IR/CP	0	0	Triangulate	Lab-in-field	0.92
Brazil CP 0 Design Field exp. India CP 1 0 Design Observational ramone-Lutz (2020) Uganda CP 0 0 Design Field exp. India CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational Mexico CP 1 0 Triangulate Obs., survey exp. DR Congo CP 0 0 Treatment Field exp. Tunisia CP 0 Design Survey exp. Mexico CP 0 Design Field exp.	JOP							
uikwad (2021) India CP 1 0 Design Observational lumphreys and Sacramone-Lutz (2020) Uganda CP 0 0 Triangulate Observational 19) Mexico CP 0 0 Design Observational 19) Brazil CP 1 0 Triangulate Observational 30 Brazil CP 0 Triangulate Observational 4t (2018) DR Congo CP 0 Treatment Field exp. 4t (2018) Tunisia CP 0 Design Survey exp. co and Winters (2017) Brazil CP 0 Design Field exp. (2015) Mexico CP 0 Design Field exp.	Boas, Hidalgo and Toral (2021)	Brazil	CP	0	0	Design	Field exp.	0.26
tumphreys and Sacramone-Lutz (2020) Uganda CP 0 Design Field exp. 19) Mexico CP 0 Triangulate Observational 19) Mexico CP 0 Design Observational 10) Brazil CP 0 Triangulate Observational 10 Brazil CP 0 Treatment Field exp. 10 Triangulate Observational Observational Observational 10 Triangulate Observational Observational Observational 11 0 Triangulate Observational Observational 12 0 Triangulate Observational Observational 12 0 Triangulate Observational Observational 13 0 Design Survey exp. 14 0 Design Field exp.	Brulé and Gaikwad (2021)	India	CP	1	0	Design	Observational	0.69
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Grossman, Humphreys and Sacramone-Lutz (2020)	Uganda	CP	0	0	Design	Field exp.	0.35
Mexico CP 0 Design Observational Brazil CP 1 0 Triangulate Obs., survey exp. DR Congo CP 0 0 Treatment Field exp. Tunisia CP 0 Design Survey exp. Brazil CP 0 Design Survey exp. Mexico CP 0 Design Field exp.	Brulé (2020)	India	CP	0	0	Triangulate	Observational	0.73
BrazilCP10Triangulate Obs., survey exp.DR CongoCP00Treatment Field exp.TunisiaCP00Design Survey exp.BrazilCP00Design Survey exp.MexicoCP00Design Field exp.	Marshall (2019)	Mexico	CP	0	0	Design	Observational	0.20
DR Congo CP 0 0 Treatment Tunisia CP 0 Design Brazil CP 0 Design Mexico CP 0 Design	Pavão (2018)	Brazil	C	\vdash	0	Triangulate	Obs., survey exp.	11.96
Tunisia CP 0 Design Brazil CP 0 Design Mexico CP 0 Design	Van der Windt (2018)	DR Congo	C	0	0	Treatment	Field exp.	1.40
Brazil CP 0 Design Mexico CP 0 Design	Bush and Prather (2017)	Tunisia	CP	0	0	Design	Survey exp.	0.51
Mexico CP 0 0 Design	Weitz-Shapiro and Winters (2017)	Brazil	C	0	0	Design	Survey exp.	0.69
	Chong et al. (2015)	Mexico	C	0	0	Design	Field exp.	0.17

2 Descriptive Statistics

Table 2 contains descriptive statistics on the three focus groups conducted for the Boston Brazilians project. I separately characterize survey respondents who did and did not leave their contact info to potentially receive an invitation to a focus group, and, conditional on receiving an invitation, those who did and did not attend. P-values are for two-tailed difference in means t-tests.

3 Alternative Specifications

Table 3 shows results from two alternative regression specifications: a linear probability model, and a logistic regression with penalized log likelihood using the Firth (1993) method for bias reduction with rare events (given that relatively few invitees showed up for the focus group). These specifications yield substantively identical results as the conventional logistic regressions reported in the main text.

Table 2: Boston Brazilians Focus Group Selection: Descriptive Statistics

Group / Variable	Left Contact Info			Attended Invited		
	Yes	No	P-val	Yes	No	P-val
Festival						
Arrival Year	2007.2	2006.4	0.7	2006.8	1997.5	0.0
Evangelical (%)	30.5	29.8	0.9	43.8	66.7	0.4
Catholic (%)	50.8	44.0	0.4	56.2	33.3	0.4
Non-Christian (%)	18.6	26.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0
Church Attendance (1–5)	2.9	3.1	0.5	4.6	4.3	0.4
Political Interest (1–4)	2.8	3.2	0.0	3.3	3.2	0.7
Bolsonaro Supporter (%)	30.4	37.3	0.4	100.0	100.0	
Male (%)	49.1	38.1	0.2	50.0	33.3	0.5
Age	42.2	43.3	0.6	43.4	57.2	0.0
Nonwhite (%)	41.8	46.4	0.6	43.8	16.7	0.3
Education (1–7)	5.0	4.6	0.2	4.1	3.7	0.7
Income (1–5)	3.6	3.3	0.3	3.4	3.2	0.8
Distance From Group (km)	15.9	14.0	0.5	8.7	7.4	0.7
N	59.0	84.0	0.0	16.0	6.0	0.1
Round 1						
Arrival Year	2005.5	2005.6	0.9	1999.5	2005.0	0.4
Evangelical (%)	47.6	44.6	$0.9 \\ 0.6$	66.7	33.3	0.4
_	$\frac{47.0}{30.8}$			33.3	55.5 66.7	0.1
Catholic (%) Non-Christian (%)		31.4	0.9			0.1
` /	$21.6 \\ 3.1$	$24.0 \\ 3.1$	$0.6 \\ 0.8$	$0.0 \\ 4.1$	$0.0 \\ 3.8$	0.5
Church Attendance (1–5)						
Political Interest (1–4)	3.6	3.6	0.6	3.6	3.8	0.4
Bolsonaro Supporter (%)	61.5	57.1	0.5	100.0	100.0	1.0
Male (%)	42.9	57.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	1.0
Age	45.7	44.8	0.6	49.6	49.5	1.0
Nonwhite (%)	32.6	41.7	0.1	29.3	50.0	0.3
Education (1–7)	4.9	4.9	1.0	4.3	5.3	0.2
Income (1–5)	3.7	3.6	0.6	3.7	3.8	1.0
Distance From Group (km)	33.2	23.5	0.0	26.3	13.8	0.2
N	189.0	121.0		42.0	6.0	
Round 2						
Arrival Year	2003.3	2004.4	0.3	2003.9	2001.0	0.4
Evangelical (%)	42.9	42.6	1.0	74.6	83.3	0.6
Catholic (%)	36.5	31.5	0.3	25.4	16.7	0.6
Non-Christian (%)	20.7	25.9	0.2	0.0	0.0	
Church Attendance (1–5)	3.0	3.0	0.6	4.0	3.7	0.2
Political Interest (1–4)	3.5	3.7	0.0	3.8	3.3	0.1
Bolsonaro Supporter (%)	62.3	65.4	0.5	100.0	100.0	
Male (%)	44.2	47.2	0.6	50.7	66.7	0.5
Age	45.6	46.7	0.4	49.0	50.8	0.7
Nonwhite (%)	41.6	36.1	0.3	35.7	16.7	0.4
Education (1–7)	4.9	5.0	0.6	4.8	5.5	0.3
Income (1–5)	3.9	3.9	0.9	3.8	4.2	0.5
Distance From Group (km)	36.1	38.6	0.5	39.0	23.6	0.2
N	207.0	198.0		71.0	6.0	

Note: P-values are from two-tailed difference in means t-tests.

Table 3: Predictors of Boston Brazilians Project Focus Group Selection (Linear Probability/Firth Logistic)

	Dependent variable:					
	Left Contact Info	0	Attended Invited			
	OLS	OLS	logistic			
Distance (Log)	-0.02	-0.01	-0.14			
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.21)			
Arrival Year	0.001	-0.01	-0.06			
	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.04)			
Evangelical	0.03	0.11	1.21			
	(0.06)	(0.08)	(0.77)			
Non-Christian	0.15*					
	(0.07)					
Church Attendance	0.03	-0.10^{*}	-1.14^{*}			
	(0.02)	(0.05)	(0.52)			
Political Interest	0.10***	-0.04	-0.34			
	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.37)			
Bolsonaro Voter	0.07		` ,			
	(0.06)					
Male	0.11*	-0.003	0.07			
	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.65)			
Age	0.001	0.001	0.01			
C	(0.002)	(0.004)	(0.04)			
Nonwhite	0.02	-0.05	-0.40			
	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.67)			
Education	0.01	0.01	0.20			
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.22)			
Income	-0.03	-0.01	-0.19			
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.28)			
Observations	465	107	107			

Note:

Entries are regression coefficients with standard errors in parentheses. Columns 1–2 estimate a linear probability model; column 3 estimates a logistic regression with penalized log likelihood using the Firth (1993) method for bias reduction with rare events. Group fixed effects estimated but not reported. *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

References

- Avdeenko, Alexandra and Michael J. Gilligan. 2015. "International interventions to build social capital: Evidence from a field experiment in Sudan." *American Political Science Review* 109(3):427–449.
- Badrinathan, Sumitra. 2021. "Educative interventions to combat misinformation: Evidence from a field experiment in India." *American Political Science Review* 115(4):1325–1341.
- Beath, Andrew, Fotini Christia and Ruben Enikolopov. 2013. "Empowering women through development aid: Evidence from a field experiment in Afghanistan." *American Political Science Review* 107(3):540–557.
- Blair, Christopher W., Guy Grossman and Jeremy M. Weinstein. 2022. "Liberal displacement policies attract forced migrants in the Global South." *American Political Science Review* 116(1):351–358.
- Blair, Graeme, Kosuke Imai and Jason Lyall. 2014. "Comparing and combining list and endorsement experiments: Evidence from Afghanistan." *American Journal of Political Science* 58(4):1043–1063.
- Blair, Robert A., Manuel Moscoso-Rojas, Andrés Vargas Castillo and Michael Weintraub. 2022. "Preventing rebel resurgence after civil war: A field experiment in security and justice provision in rural Colombia." *American Political Science Review* 116(4):1258–1277.
- Boas, Taylor C., F. Daniel Hidalgo and Guillermo Toral. 2021. "Competence versus priorities: Negative electoral responses to education quality in Brazil." *The Journal of Politics* 83(4):1417–1431.
- Boas, Taylor C., F. Daniel Hidalgo and Marcus André Melo. 2019. "Norms versus action: Why voters fail to sanction malfeasance in Brazil." *American Journal of Political Science* 63(2):385–400.

- Bowles, Jeremy, Horacio Larreguy and Shelley Liu. 2020. "How weakly institutionalized parties monitor brokers in developing democracies: Evidence from postconflict Liberia." *American Journal of Political Science* 64(4):952–967.
- Brulé, Rachel E. 2020. "Reform, representation, and resistance: The politics of property rights' enforcement." *The Journal of Politics* 82(4):1390–1405.
- Brulé, Rachel and Nikhar Gaikwad. 2021. "Culture, capital, and the political economy gender gap: Evidence from Meghalaya's matrilineal tribes." *The Journal of Politics* 83(3):834–850.
- Bush, Sarah Sunn and Lauren Prather. 2017. "The promise and limits of election observers in building election credibility." *The Journal of Politics* 79(3):921–935.
- Chong, Alberto, Ana L. De La O, Dean Karlan and Leonard Wantchekon. 2015. "Does corruption information inspire the fight or quash the hope? A field experiment in Mexico on voter turnout, choice, and party identification." *The Journal of Politics* 77(1):55–71.
- Coleman, Eric A. and Esther Mwangi. 2015. "Conflict, cooperation, and institutional change on the commons." *American Journal of Political Science* 59(4):855–865.
- Corbacho, Ana, Daniel W. Gingerich, Virginia Oliveros and Mauricio Ruiz-Vega. 2016. "Corruption as a self-fulfilling prophecy: Evidence from a survey experiment in Costa Rica." *American Journal of Political Science* 60(4):1077–1092.
- Cyr, Jennifer. 2016. "The Pitfalls and Promise of Focus Groups as a Data Collection Method." Sociological Methods & Research 45(2):231–259.
- Dasgupta, Aditya and Devesh Kapur. 2020. "The political economy of bureaucratic overload: Evidence from rural development officials in India." *American Political Science Review* 114(4):1316–1334.
- Ferrali, Romain, Guy Grossman, Melina R. Platas and Jonathan Rodden. 2020. "It takes a village:

- Peer effects and externalities in technology adoption." *American Journal of Political Science* 64(3):536–553.
- Firth, David. 1993. "Bias Reduction of Maximum Likelihood Estimates." *Biometrika* 80(1):27–38.
- Garand, James C. and Micheal W. Giles. 2003. "Journals in the discipline: A report on a new survey of American political scientists." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 36(2):293–308.
- Garand, James C., Micheal W Giles, André Blais and Iain McLean. 2009. "Political science journals in comparative perspective: Evaluating scholarly journals in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 42(4):695–717.
- Gilligan, Michael J., Benjamin J. Pasquale and Cyrus Samii. 2014. "Civil war and social cohesion: Lab-in-the-field evidence from Nepal." *American Journal of Political Science* 58(3):604–619.
- Grossman, Guy and Kristin Michelitch. 2018. "Information dissemination, competitive pressure, and politician performance between elections: A field experiment in Uganda." *American Political Science Review* 112(2):280–301.
- Grossman, Guy, Macartan Humphreys and Gabriella Sacramone-Lutz. 2020. "Information technology and political engagement: Mixed evidence from Uganda." *The Journal of Politics* 82(4):1321–1336.
- Haas, Nicholas and Prabin B. Khadka. 2020. "If They Endorse It, I Can't Trust It: How Outgroup Leader Endorsements Undercut Public Support for Civil War Peace Settlements." *American Journal of Political Science* 64(4):982–1000.
- Lindsey, Summer. 2022. "Conflict, protection, and punishment: Repercussions of violence in eastern DR Congo." *American Journal of Political Science* 66(1):187–204.
- Longo, Matthew, Daphna Canetti and Nancy Hite-Rubin. 2014. "A checkpoint effect? Evidence from a natural experiment on travel restrictions in the West Bank." *American Journal of Political Science* 58(4):1006–1023.

- Lyall, Jason, Graeme Blair and Kosuke Imai. 2013. "Explaining support for combatants during wartime: A survey experiment in Afghanistan." *American Political Science Review* 107(4):679–705.
- Lyall, Jason, Yang-Yang Zhou and Kosuke Imai. 2020. "Can economic assistance shape combatant support in wartime? Experimental evidence from Afghanistan." *American Political Science Review* 114(1):126–143.
- Magaloni, Beatriz, Edgar Franco-Vivanco and Vanessa Melo. 2020. "Killing in the slums: Social order, criminal governance, and police violence in Rio de Janeiro." *American Political Science Review* 114(2):552–572.
- Marshall, John. 2019. "Signaling sophistication: How social expectations can increase political information acquisition." *The Journal of Politics* 81(1):167–186.
- McCauley, John F. 2014. "The political mobilization of ethnic and religious identities in Africa." American Political Science Review 108(4):801–816.
- McLean, Iain, André Blais, James C. Garand and Micheal Giles. 2009. "Comparative journal ratings: A survey report." *Political Studies Review* 7(1):18–38.
- Molina-Garzón, Adriana, Tara Grillos, Alan Zarychta and Krister P. Andersson. 2022. "Decentralization can increase cooperation among public officials." *American Journal of Political Science* 66(3):554–569.
- Paler, Laura. 2013. "Keeping the public purse: An experiment in windfalls, taxes, and the incentives to restrain government." *American Political Science Review* 107(4):706–725.
- Pavão, Nara. 2018. "Corruption as the only option: The limits to electoral accountability." *The Journal of Politics* 80(3):996–1010.
- Poertner, Mathias. 2021. "The organizational voter: Support for new parties in young democracies." *American Journal of Political Science* 65(3):634–651.

- Thachil, Tariq. 2014. "Elite parties and poor voters: Theory and evidence from India." *American Political Science Review* 108(2):454–477.
- Van der Windt, Peter. 2018. "Can development aid empower women? Evidence from a field experiment in the Congo." *The Journal of Politics* 80(3):1039–1044.
- Weitz-Shapiro, Rebecca and Matthew S. Winters. 2017. "Can citizens discern? Information credibility, political sophistication, and the punishment of corruption in Brazil." *The Journal of Politics* 79(1):60–74.
- Williams, Martin J. 2017. "The political economy of unfinished development projects: Corruption, clientelism, or collective choice?" *American Political Science Review* 111(4):705–723.