## EC 501: Final Exam (Fall 2016), Solutions

1. (a) We know that the demand function is homogeneous of degree zero. Using a property of homogenous function we can take the demand function

$$
x\left(p_{x}, p_{y}, I\right)
$$

and write

$$
p_{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_{x}}+p_{y} \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_{y}}+I \frac{\partial x}{\partial I}=0 .
$$

Dividing through by $x$ gives us

$$
\frac{p_{x}}{x} \cdot \frac{\partial x_{x}}{\partial p_{x}}+\frac{p_{y}}{x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_{y}}+\frac{I}{x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial I}=0
$$

which is

$$
\epsilon_{x}+\epsilon_{x y}+\eta_{x}=0
$$

(b) Tangency condition is:

$$
\frac{u_{x}}{u_{y}}=\frac{p_{x}}{p_{y}} \quad \rightarrow \quad y=\frac{p_{x}}{p_{y}} \quad \rightarrow \quad p_{y} y=p_{x}
$$

Substituting in the budget constraint:

$$
p_{x} x+p_{x}=I \quad \rightarrow \quad x=\frac{I-p_{x}}{p_{x}}
$$

This is the demand function for $x$. The second equation above is the demand function for $y$ :

$$
y=\frac{p_{x}}{p_{y}} .
$$

(c) From the demand function for $x$,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial p_{x}}=\frac{-I}{p_{x}^{2}} & \rightarrow & \epsilon_{x}=\frac{-I}{I-p_{x}} \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial p_{y}}=0 & \rightarrow & \epsilon_{x y}=0 \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial I}=\frac{1}{p_{x}} & \rightarrow & \eta_{x}=\frac{I}{I-p_{x}}
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\epsilon_{x}+\epsilon_{x y}+\eta_{x}=0
$$

From the demand function for $y$, since it is of Cobb-Douglas form, we can read off the elasticities directly:

$$
\epsilon_{y}=-1, \quad \epsilon_{y x}=1, \quad \eta_{y}=0
$$

and so

$$
\epsilon_{y}+\epsilon_{y x}+\eta_{y}=0
$$

2. (a) A's indifference curves have the usual convex shape, but B's indifference curves are L-shaped. The contract curve PS is the diagonal of the box.

(b) Pareto improvements over the endowment $E$ that are also efficient are on the line segment MN where A's allocations are, at $\mathrm{M}:(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})$, and at $\mathrm{N}:(2,2)$.
(c) If the Walrasian auctioneer announces prices $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$, the income levels for $A$ and $B$ will be respectively

$$
I_{A}=p_{x}+2 p_{y} \quad \text { and } \quad I_{B}=2 p_{x}+p_{y}
$$

Now, given his Cobb-Douglas utility function, $A$ will want to spend half his income on $x$. His demand function for $x$ is therefore

$$
x_{A}=\frac{p_{x}+2 p_{y}}{2 p_{x}} .
$$

$B$ has a Leontief utility function and, in equilibrium, would want to set $x_{B}=y_{B}$. Therefore, his demand function for x is

$$
x_{B}=\frac{2 p_{x}+p_{y}}{p_{x}+p_{y}} .
$$

In Walrasian equilibrium, we must have

$$
x_{A}+x_{B}=3 .
$$

Substituting the demand functions in this equation and rearranging gives us the equilibrium price ratio:

$$
\left(\frac{p_{x}}{p_{y}}\right)^{*}=1 .
$$

Setting $p_{x}=p_{y}=1$, we can use the demand functions to solve for the chosen consumption bundles:

$$
x_{A}^{*}=1.5, y_{A}^{*}=1.5 ; \quad x_{B}^{*}=1.5, y_{B}^{*}=1.5 .
$$

3. (a) $E\left(I_{1}\right)=(0.7)(1600)+(0.3)(10000)=\$ 4,120$.
(b) With her existing job, her utility is:

$$
U\left(I_{0}\right)=(\sqrt{3600})=60
$$

With the new job, her expected utility would be:

$$
E U\left(I_{1}\right)=(0.7)(\sqrt{1600})+(0.3)(\sqrt{10000})=28+30=58
$$

This is lower than her utility in the existing job and therefore Olivia would not accept the new job.
(c) The cost of risk is the difference between the expected income and the certainty equivalent income. The cetainty equivalent of her new job would be that $I_{2}$ that resulted in:

$$
E U\left(I_{2}\right)=\sqrt{I_{2}}=58 \quad \rightarrow \quad I_{2}=3364
$$

Therefore, Olivia's cost of risk in the new job would be

$$
C=4120-3364=\$ 756
$$

4. (a) For both firms, the supply curve is just their MC curve. Therefore their supply curves are

$$
q_{1}=p \quad \text { and } \quad q_{2}=2 p
$$

Then the market supply curve is the sum of these:

$$
Q^{s}=3 p
$$

(b) Equilibrium will be where supply equals demand:

$$
3 p=600-3 p \quad \rightarrow \quad p=100 \quad \text { and } \quad Q=300
$$

From the individual supply curves, given that $p=100$, we find

$$
q_{1}=100 \quad \text { and } \quad q_{2}=200
$$

The green areas in the diagram indicate the profits of the two firms.


Then profits are
$\pi_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(100)(100)=\$ 5000 \quad$ and $\quad \pi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(100)(200)=\$ 10,000$.
(c) If the two firms merged, they would operate as a multi-plant monopoly. The marginal cost curve would be the old supply curve we found in part (a):

$$
M C=\frac{1}{3} Q
$$

The demand curve can be written as

$$
p=200-\frac{1}{3} Q^{d}
$$

so the marginal revenue is then

$$
M R=200-\frac{2}{3} Q
$$

Profit is maximized when $\mathrm{MC}=\mathrm{MR}$ :

$$
200-\frac{2}{3} Q=\frac{1}{3} Q \quad \rightarrow \quad Q=200
$$

Then

$$
M C=\frac{200}{3} \quad \text { and so } \quad q_{1}=\frac{200}{3} \quad \text { and } \quad q_{2}=\frac{400}{3} .
$$

From the demand curve,

$$
p=200-\frac{200}{3}=\frac{400}{3} .
$$

The graph illustrates the situation.


The combined firm's profit (the green area) is

$$
\pi=(200)\left(\frac{400}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(200)\left(\frac{200}{3}\right)=\$ 20,000 .
$$

(d) Society is worse off after the merger by the red area in the graph above. So

$$
\Delta W=-\frac{1}{2}(100)\left(\frac{200}{3}\right)=-\frac{10000}{3}
$$

5. (a) Appel's profit is

$$
\pi_{a}=\left(p_{a}-5\right) \cdot\left(100-5 p_{a}+5 p_{b}\right)
$$

This will be maximized where
$\frac{\partial \pi_{a}}{\partial p_{a}}=100-5 p_{a}+5 p_{b}+\left(p_{a}-5\right)(-5)=0 \quad \rightarrow \quad p_{a}=12.5+\frac{1}{2} p_{b}$.
This is Appel's best-response function. Because the problem is symmetrical, we can write down Bamsung's best-response function:

$$
p_{b}=12.5+\frac{1}{2} p_{a}
$$

The equilibrium is where the two best-response functions intersect, which yields

$$
p_{a}=p_{b}=25
$$

Then

$$
q_{a}=q_{b}=100 \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{a}=\pi_{b}=2000
$$

(b) In the two-stage game, Appel will choose its price while taking into account Bamsung's best-response function. Thus

$$
\pi_{a}=\left(p_{a}-5\right) \cdot\left(100-5 p_{a}+5\left\{12.5+\frac{1}{2} p_{a}\right\}\right)
$$

Differentiating this with respect to $p_{a}$, setting equal to zero and solving, yields

$$
p_{a}=35 \quad \text { and so } \quad p_{b}=30
$$

Then

$$
q_{a}=75, q_{b}=125 \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{a}=2250, \pi_{b}=3125
$$

(c) The graph follows; A is the initial Nash equilibrium, while B is the equilibrium in part (b):


