

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Mechanical properties of single-layer black phosphorus

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2014 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 47 385304 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/47/38/385304) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 168.122.67.109 This content was downloaded on 29/08/2014 at 01:16

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 47 (2014) 385304 (3pp)

Mechanical properties of single-layer black phosphorus

Jin-Wu Jiang¹ and Harold S \mbox{Park}^2

 ¹ Shanghai Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Mechanics in Energy Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200072, People's Republic of China
 ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

E-mail: jwjiang5918@hotmail.com and parkhs@bu.edu

Received 21 April 2014, revised 10 July 2014 Accepted for publication 1 August 2014 Published 28 August 2014

Abstract

The mechanical properties of single-layer black phosphorus under uniaxial deformation are investigated using first-principles calculations. Both the Young's modulus and ultimate strain are found to be highly anisotropic and nonlinear as a result of its quasi-two-dimensional puckered structure. Specifically, the in-plane Young's modulus is 41.3 GPa in the direction perpendicular to the pucker and 106.4 GPa in the parallel direction. The ideal strain is 0.48 and 0.11 in the perpendicular and parallel directions, respectively.

Keywords: black phosphrous, mechanical properties, Young's modulus

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

Few-layer black phosphorus (BP) is another interesting quasitwo-dimensional system that has recently been explored as an alternative electronic material to graphene, boron nitride, and the transition metal dichalcogenides for transistor applications [1-4]. This initial excitement surrounding BP is because unlike graphene, BP has a direct bandgap that is layerdependent. Furthermore, BP also exhibits a carrier mobility that is larger than MoS₂ [2]. The van der Waals effect in bulk BP was discussed by Appalakondaiah *et al* [5]. First-principles calculations show that single-layer BP has a band gap around 0.8 eV, and the band gap decreases with increasing thickness [2, 6]. For single-layer BP, the band gap can be manipulated via mechanical strain in the direction normal to the BP plane, where a semiconductor-metal transition was observed [7].

Single-layer BP has a characteristic puckered structure as shown in figure 1(a), which leads to two anisotropic inplane directions. The anisotropy engendered by the pucker should have a strong effect on the mechanical properties in the two orthogonal in-plane directions. However, studies on the fundamental mechanical properties of single-layer BP are still lacking.

In this letter, we report the highly anisotropic and nonlinear mechanical properties in single-layer BP that result from uniaxial deformation. Our first-principles calculations show that the Young's modulus is considerably smaller in the in-plane direction perpendicular to the pucker, while the single-layer BP is able to sustain a large mechanical strain of 0.48 in this perpendicular direction.

For the *ab initio* calculations, we used the SIESTA package [8] to optimize the structure of single-layer BP. The local density approximation was applied to account for the exchange-correlation function with Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) parametrization [9] and the double- ζ basis set orbital was adopted. During the conjugate-gradient optimization, the maximum force on each atom is smaller than 0.01 eV Å⁻¹. A mesh cut off of 120 Ry was used. Periodic boundary conditions were applied in the two in-plane transverse directions, while free boundary conditions were applied to the out-of-plane direction by introducing sufficient vacuum space of 15 Å. Gamma point *k* sampling was adopted for wave vector space.

Figure 1 shows the relaxed structure for a single-layer of BP of dimension $17.69 \times 16.74 \times 5.29$ Å as visualized using XCRYSDEN [10]. The top panel is a perspective view that displays the puckered configuration of single-layer BP. In this puckered structure, each P atom is connected to three neighbouring P atoms. There are two inequivalent P–P bonds in the relaxed structure, i.e. $r_{12} = r_{13} = 2.4244$ Å and $r_{14} = 2.3827$ Å. Two inequivalent bond angles are $\theta_{213} =$ 98.213° and $\theta_{214} = \theta_{314} = 97.640°$. The blue box indicates the unit cell with four P atoms. The two lattice constants are $a_1 = 4.1319$ Å and $a_2 = 3.6616$ Å, and we chose 5.29 Å to be the thickness of single-layer BP as it is the inter-layer



Figure 1. Optimized configuration of single-layer BP. Top: perspective view illustrates the pucker along the *y*-direction. Bottom: top view of top image showing a square lattice structure. Blue box represents the basic unit cell for single-layer BP.

spacing in bulk BP [6]. These structural parameters are close to the experimental values [11]. The top view shown in the bottom panel displays a square lattice structure for singlelayer BP. The Cartesian coordinates are set with the x-direction perpendicular to the pucker and the y-direction parallel with the pucker.

The puckered structure of single-layer BP implies that this material may be much more ductile in the x-direction than the y-direction, which should lead to strongly anisotropic mechanical properties. To illustrate this anisotropy, we stretch single-layer BP in two different ways; i.e. uniaxial deformation in the x-direction and uniaxial deformation in the y-direction. The mechanical compression or tension is applied in the direction of the strain, while the strained structure is allowed to be fully relaxed, especially in the direction perpendicular to the strain, which is essential for the proper definition of Young's modulus.

Figure 2 shows the strain energy density, which is asymmetric with respect to compression or tension. This asymmetric behaviour implies a strong nonlinear mechanical response in single-layer BP. We fit the strain energy density data to two different functions, i.e. the cubic



Figure 2. Strain energy density for single-layer BP under uniaxial deformation in the *x*-direction (blue triangles), uniaxial deformation in the *y*-direction (red squares). Dashed lines represent the fitting to cubic function, i.e. $y = Yx^2/2 + C_3x^3/6$. Solid lines are fitting to the square function, i.e. $y = Yx^2/2 + C_3x^3/6 + C_4x^4/24$. Fitting parameters (*Y*, *C*₃, *C*₄) are shown in the legend. Note that the cubic function gives poor fitting results, while the quartic function yields a good fitting. Right bottom insets show the side view of the single-layer BP under uniaxial compression and tension in the *x*-direction.

function $y = Yx^2/2 + C_3x^3/6$ and the quartic function $y = Yx^2/2 + C_3x^3/6 + C_4x^4/24$, from which two key facts are gleaned. First, the cubic function does not fit the simulation results well, which is in contrast to the quartic fitting, especially for the *x*-direction. This fitting result discloses the important contribution from the quartic term C_4 . In other words, singlelayer BP responds highly nonlinearly during the mechanical deformation, especially in x-direction. The deformation is in such a large strain range that these nonlinear effects become important. Secondly, the Young's modulus in this material is anisotropic; i.e. its value in the x-direction (Y = 41.3 GPa, $E = 21.9 \,\mathrm{Nm^{-1}}$) is less than half of that in the y-direction (106.4 GPa, $E = 56.3 \text{ Nm}^{-1}$). The second value E is the effective Young's modulus which is thickness independent. It is calculated by E = Yh, where h = 5.29 Å has been chosen as the thickness of the single-layer BP in the above calculations. Insets in the figure show the high elasticity of the singlelayer BP in the x-direction. The pucker can be compressed or unfolded gradually upon external compression or tension in the x-direction. The pucker induced folding or unfolding mechanism is the origin for the smaller Young's modulus in the x-direction. The anisotropic Young's modulus arises from the anisotropically distributed electron wave functions in singlelayer BP, which has been found to cause anisotropy for a range of physical properties [12–15]. We note that Seifert et al found the Young's modulus to be isotropic for BP in nanotube form based on a density functional tight binding approach [16]. This finding is in contrast to the results in present work, which is likely due to the different computational approaches that were utilized.

Owing to this puckered configuration, single-layer BP is highly ductile in the x-direction, and it can sustain strain as high as 0.48 in the x-direction. This ideal strain value is considerably higher than that in the y-direction of about 0.11. The ideal strain we discuss here is the strain at which singlelayer BP fails at zero temperature. For strains above these values, fracture of the single-layer BP occurs. It should be noted that the failure strain of the material would be lower at non-zero temperatures due to the relative ease of instability nucleation when thermal effects are accounted for.

The effective Young's modulus is independent of the thickness, so it is a proper quantity to compare the Young's modulus in the single-layer BP with other layered structures. The two-dimensional effective Young's modulus is 21.9 Nm^{-1} in the *x*-direction and 56.3 Nm^{-1} in the *y*-direction of the single-layer BP. These values are considerably smaller than the effective Young's modulus of the single-layer MoS₂, which is above 120.0 Nm^{-1} [17–20]. The values are also one order of magnitude smaller than the effective Young's modulus in single-layer graphene, which is around 335.0 Nm^{-1} [21–23].

In conclusion, we have performed first-principles calculations to investigate the mechanical properties of singlelayer BP. We find that single-layer BP exhibits highly anisotropic and nonlinear mechanical properties due to its unique puckered structure. Specifically, the in-plane Young's modulus in the direction perpendicular to the pucker is only half of that in the parallel direction, while the ultimate strain is much larger in the direction perpendicular to the pucker.

Acknowledgments

We thank the anonymous referee for mentioning the actual meaning of the ideal strain. The work is supported by the Recruitment Programme of Global Youth Experts of China and the start-up funding from Shanghai University. HSP acknowledges the support of the Mechanical Engineering department at Boston University.

References

- [1] Li L, Yu Y, Ye G J, Ge Q, Ou X, Wu H, Feng D, Chen X H and Zhang Y 2014 arXiv:1401.4117v1
- [2] Liu H, Neal A T, Zhu Z, Tomnek D and Ye P D 2014 arXiv:1401.4133v1
- [3] Buscema M, Groenendijk D J, Blanter S I, Steele G A, van der Zant H S and Castellanos-Gomez A 2014 arXiv:1403.0565v1
- [4] Castellanos-Gomez A et al 2014 arXiv:1403.0499
- [5] Appalakondaiah S, Vaitheeswaran G, Lebegue S, Christensen N E and Svane A 2012 Phys. Rev. B 86 035105
- [6] Du Y, Ouyang C, Shi S and Lei M 2010 J. Appl. Phys. 107 093718
- [7] Rodin A S, Carvalho A and Neto A H C 2014 arXiv: 1401.1801v1
- [8] Soler J M, Artacho E, Gale J D, Garcia A, Junquera J, Ordejon P and Sanchez-Portal D 2002 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 2745
- [9] Perdew J P, Burke K and Ernzerhof M 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 3865
- [10] Kokalj A 2003 Comput. Mater. Sci. 28 155
- [11] Brown A and Rundqvist S 1965 *Acta Crystallogr.* 19 684
 [12] Qiao J, Kong X, Hu Z-X, Yang F and Ji W 2014 arXiv:
- 1401.5045 [13] Xia F. Wang H and Jia Y 2014 arXiv: 1402.0270
- [13] Ala I, wang II and Jia I 2014 at Xiv. 1402.027(
- [14] Wei Q and Peng X 2014 arXiv: 1403.7882
- [15] Qin G, Qin Z, Yue S-Y, Cui H-J, Zheng Q-R, Yan Q-B and Su G 2014 arXiv: 1406.0261
- [16] Seifert G and Hernandez E 2000 Chem. Phys. Lett. 318 355
- [17] Cooper R C, Lee C, Marianetti C A, Wei X, Hone J and Kysar J W 2013 *Phys. Rev.* B **87** 035423
- [18] Cooper R C, Lee C, Marianetti C A, Wei X, Hone J and Kysar J W 2013 Phys. Rev. B 87 079901
- [19] Bertolazzi S, Brivio J and Kis A 2011 ACS Nano 5 9703
- [20] Jiang J-W, Park H S and Rabczuk T 2013 J. Appl. Phys. 114 064307
- [21] Lee C, Wei X, Kysar J W and Hone J 2008 Science 321 385
- [22] Jiang J-W, Wang J-S and Li B 2009 Phys. Rev. B 80 113405
- [23] Jiang J-W, Wang J-S and Li B 2010 Phys. Rev. B 81 073405