

- 1. Early theories of biogeography: beyond Noah's ark
- 2. Barriers and Isolation: a foundation of biogeographic theory
 - Exemplified by Wallace's Line

Thinking during Linneaus' time: From maximizing noah's ark size to "special creation" "This newly imagined God of the late 18th century was a hands-on, follow-through sort of guy who committed himself to details and showed no knack for delegating power" -Quammen 1. Early theories of biogeography

Linnaeus' (1707-78) Theory:

Accepted Noah's Ark hypotheses ٠

•Species Immutable •Plato, Aristotle (300-400 BC) •The Bible •Linneaus (1700s) \rightarrow





Ironically, while Linneaus *treated species as immutable*, his Binomial Classification scheme recognized relatedness of species (grouped into genera), and was an early form of a 'tree of life'.

Also, Linneaus got the "niche" concept right.

Linneaus' scheme became a focus for Darwin ...





- 1. Organisms would have to cross inhospitable boundaries to get to suitable environments
- 2. We see different kinds of animals and plants in very similar, but isolated environments (Buffon's Law)

Comte de Buffon (1707-88) pointed these problems out and offered another explanation...



Buffon's key contribution was to posit the *mutability* of *species*:

•Northern Origin hypothesis

•Species originated in the North during a warmer period (climate variation!)

•During climate cooling, species migrated and *adapted* to new habitats.





•Key contribution: Species evolve in response to environment

•They do so by inheritance of acquired traits (e.g. Giraffe's necks). There is no evidence for this.

•Unfortunately, Lamarck is most remembered for being wrong.









•Darwin: Mockingbird (not finch) variation on Galapagos Islands led Darwin to question the fixity of species.

•Wallace: was a paid specimen collector; thus he collected many individuals of species rather than single individuals. Variation among individuals was prominent in his mind.

•Wallace (1855): "Every species has come into existence coincident both in space and time with a pre-existing closely allied species"

Darwin and Wallace's Breakthrough

Thomas Malthus provided a key insight that crystallized the concept of natural selection to both Darwin and Wallace:

•Almost all species can reproduce at far greater rates than the environmental carrying capacity and observed population sizes.

•So what keeps the population numbers stable? It must be that relatively few individuals survive. Which ones survive? The ones that are best fitted to their environment. Thomas Malthus (1766-1834)



A Eureka Moment:

Wallace: "...no satisfactory conclusion was reached till February 1858. At that time I was suffering a rather severe attack of intermittent fever at Ternate in the Moluccas, and one day while lying on my bed during the cold fit... the problem again presented itself to me, and something led me to think of the 'positive checks' described by Malthus in his "Essay on Population"...these checks - war, disease, famine and the like - must, it occurred to me, act on animals as well as on man. Then I thought of the enormously rapid multiplication of animals, causing these checks to be much more effective than in man; and while pondering vaguely on this fact there suddenly flashed upon me the idea of the survival of the fittest.

... In the two hours that elapsed before my fit was over I had thought out almost the whole of the theory, and the same evening I sketched the draft of my paper..."



- Barriers and Isolation: a foundation of biogeographic theory
 Exemplified by Wallace's Line
- 2. Filters versus corridors versus barriers





Wallace's Line

•Wallace spent 8 yrs in the Malay Archipeligo

•In 3rd yr of travels (1856) made his way from Bali to Lombock

"on crossing over to Lombock, I naturally expected to meet with some of these birds again, but during a stay there of 3 months I never saw one of them, but found a totally different set of species..."

Also, Sulawesi (Celebes) "was at once the poorest in number of species and the most isolated in character of its productions of all indonesian islands



Wallace also didn't know about plate tectonics

We now know that the deep water channel between Bali and Lombock represents the edge of the eurasian continent. Further east is the australian continent. In between are continental fragments and volcanic arcs.

Wallace also didn't appreciate glacial cycles and influence on barrier formation/removal



Wallace's line continues to have relevence to biogeographic research and conservation today.



current relevence to human biogeography research...

Ancient Island Tools Suggest Homo erectus Was a Seafarer Polynesian origins Slow boat to Melanesia? Ann Gibbons "he origin of the Polynesian islanders In 1968, a Dutch missionary living on the and of the Austronesian languages that they speak has been debated for more Indonesian island of Flores found stone tools than 200 years. Diamond has presented the predominantly held modern viewpoint, alongside the bones of an extinct type of described as the 'express train to Polynesia' model, which proposes that the ancestors of elephant called a Stegodon, known to have the Polynesians were early farmers who dispersed south from a homeland in South lived at least 750,000 years ago. If the tools China/Taiwan, through Island Southeast

Asia (replacing an indigenous 'Australoid' hunter-gatherer population), and then on east, out into the Pacific — all within the past 6,000 years". However, evidence is accumulating from several genetic markers that Polynesian lineages have a much deeper ancestry within tropical Island Southeast Asia than this hypothesis would suggest. The new evidence implies that the Polynesians originated not in China/Taiwan, but in eastern Indonesia, somewhere between Wallace's line and the island of New Guinea.

line.

elephant called a *Stegodon*, known to have lived at least 750,000 years ago. If the tools were as old as the *Stegodon*, this was a spectacular discovery, for Flores lies beyond a deep-water strait that separates most Asian and Australian faunas. The tools meant that the only human species then living in Southeast Asia, *Homo erectus*, must have been able to cross this biological barrier, called **Wallace's**

NATURE | VOL 410 | 8 MARCH 2001 | www.nature.com











The role of dispersal:

Clearly, the nature of barriers depends not only on the physical/environmental separation between habitats, but on dispersal properties of plants and animals.

Two kinds of dispersal:

1. Active

2. Passive



Active Dispersal:

Other examples of active dispersal:

-Monarch butterflies (canada to mexico)

-Elephant cow+calf documented to voluntarily swim 50 km from Sri Lanka!



Differential dispersal ability is a key reason for selective filtering – I.e. why 'barriers' are almost always really 'filters'

On islands, this leads to 'disharmonic biotas'. That is, island communities do not represent a balanced subset of the species on mainlands.



Evolution
1. Patterns of Evolution on Islands: insightful absurdities
2. Mechanisms of Evolution I: without natural selection
3. Mechanisms of Evolution II: by natural selection

1. Patterns of Evolution on Islands: insightful absurdities

- Gigantism and Dwarfism
- Flightlessness/reduced dispersal ability
 - Loss of defensive adaptations





















- Flightlessness/reduced dispersal ability
- Loss of defensive adaptations

Lack of selective forces (predation, competition) lead to evolutionary stagnation.

The Taxon Cycle:

- 1. Invasion by generalists adapted to disturbed envts.
- 2. Differentiation to highly specialized and restricted habitats
- 3. Extinction by envt. Change or new invaders

Evolution

- 1. Patterns of Evolution on Islands: insightful absurdities
- 2. Mechanisms of Evolution I: without natural selection
- 3. Mechanisms of Evolution II: by natural selection



















Recap: Artificial Selection, Genetic Drift and Gene Flow are *not* examples of evolution by natural selection.

Let's discuss evolution by natural selection now...

Evolution

- 1. Patterns of Evolution on Islands: insightful absurdities
- 2. Mechanisms of Evolution I: without natural selection
- 3. Mechanisms of Evolution II: by natural selection



A) Micro and Macro Selection

- B) Sympatric vs. Allopatric selection/speciation
- C) The Ecological Niche
- **D)** Adaptive Landscapes
- E) Character Displacement





2. How does the Environment Select?

A) Macro Selection:

- Species Selection: Analagous to selection on individuals, but instead at the species level (or higher).

-Big example: Dinosaur extinction, mammal proliferation 65 Mya.

-Metabolic temperature regulation in mammals may have conferred an advantage during the nuclear winter.







2. How does the Environment Select?

B) Sympatric vs. Allopatric selection/speciation

Sympatric – selection/speciation occuring in the same location

Allopatric – selection/speciation occuring in geographically isolated populations.

Allopatric speciation can be due to both natural selection and genetic drift.



FIGURE 24.6 Two modes of speciation. These sketches simplify the geographic relationships of new species to their parent species.

Sometimes The populations become allopatric geographical isolation leads to speciation, sometimes not. Often it is a matter of length of time of separation, and differential 2 The mountain symbolizes a period of environmental geographic isolation. selection. If the two populations inter-If the evolutionary diverbreed freely and produce fertile offspring when they become gence of the two populations results in reproductive isolation, sympatric again, their gene then they will not interbreed, even if they come back into pools merge. Speciation has not occurred. contact. Speciation has occurred. FIGURE 24.8 Has speciation occurred during geographic isolation?



What is a species, anyway?

Several definitions. The two most prevalent are:

- 1. The Biological Species concept: Based on sexual reproduction. E.g. Horses and Donkeys represent distinct species because they do not produce viable offspring. Problem: asexual reproducing organisms?
- 2. Morphological species concept: The classic definition. Based on phenotypic (physical) characters. Now uses genetic characters. Criteria for determining which traits are important and how much they must differ are subjective.








Traditionally, more importance has been placed on allopatric speciation rather than sympatric speciation.

However, active research is revealing that sympatric speciation is a strong force of evolution.

Researchers at BU currently examine relative strengths of allopatric vs. sympatric speciation! (PBS - Evolution)



Prof. Chris Schnieder, BU Biology Dept.











A famous example of natural selection: Kettlewell's Peppered moth and industrial melanism





1. Factors causing extinction

- Rarity: intrinsic or forced
 - Trophic cascades

2. Examples

The fate of all species is extinction

-The Taxon Cycle

-The Red Queen Hypothesis: "it takes all the running you can do to keep in the same place" – cessation of evolutionary change may lead to extinction.

-Abiotic and biotic environments are always changing, and it becomes increasingly difficult for highly evolved, specialist species to respond

Factors causing extinction

- Rarity: *intrinsic* or forced Key points:

Small populations are vulnerable to extinction, whether intrinsic to that species (e.g. top predators) or caused by external forces.

Once a population is small, it is the population's smallness itself that drives it to extinction.

This is what Quammen implies by 'rarity unto death'

Why?





Researchers have tried for a long time to determine Minimum Viable Population sizes (we'll talk more about this later in the semester)

Population Viability Analysis: predicts probability of survival over a given time range (e.g. 15% chance of extinction in next 50 yrs). It is not simply the number of individuals that matter in population viability analyses: it is the effective population size:

-depends on % of individuals capable of breeding, and the sex ratios:

 $N_{e} = 4N_{f}N_{m}/(N_{f}+N_{m})$

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{N}_{e} = \mathsf{effective\ population\ size} \\ &\mathsf{N}_{f} = \texttt{\#\ females\ that\ can\ effectively\ breed} \\ &\mathsf{N}_{m} = \texttt{\#\ males\ that\ can\ effectively\ breed} \\ &\mathsf{e.g.\ 1000\ individuals,\ all\ can\ breed,\ 50\%\ male/female:} \\ &\mathsf{N}_{e} = 4*500*500/(500+500) = 1000 \\ &\mathsf{e.g.\ 1000\ individuals,\ 50\%\ can\ breed,\ 25\%male/75\%female:} \\ &\mathsf{N}_{e} = 4*375*125/(375+125) = 375 \end{split}$$

So how do populations become small and endangered?

Five major threats:

1. Habitat destruction

2. Introduced species

3. Overexploitation

4. Food Chain Disruptions (trophic cascades)

5. Climate Change (can act as #1)

Often, these factors interact

So how do populations become small and endangered?

A central point of Quamman: humans often reduce population numbers to a point of diminishing returns, but do not directly finish species off.

The low population sizes then finish off the species.

Examples Galore:









"The puzzling aspect of the passenger pigeon's demise lies in the fact that during the last years the species continued to decline at a rate that seems too great to be accounted for simply by hunting" - Halliday

"this bird had to live in vast numbers or not at all"

-finding food, guard against enemies, incubating eggs, fledging young, thythms of mating/nesting, all apparantly were supported by big population sizes.

"Critical Mass" – here social factors, rather than genetic extinction vortex likely played a role.



Trophic cascades: examples from Guam, Panama

Definition (Diamond): "Since species abundances depend on each other in numerous ways, disappearance of one species is likely to produce cascading effects on abundance of species that use it as prey, pollinator, or fruit disperser. "At the low extreme of abundance, a species faces rarity unto extinction"











Trophic cascades: example from Panama

•Lake Gatun dammed early 1900s, BCI protected beginning 1923

•By 1980s, 45/108 breeding birds locally extinct: why?









Summary: Fragmentation and trophic cascades

Insularization -> extinction -> more extinctions





Table 1: Attrib	outes of	study is	lands				
Island		Area	Tree Diversity	Canopy Closure	Basal Area	Stand Density	AWI
	ID#	(ha)	(Fisher's Alpha)	(%)	(m²/ha)	(stems/ha)	(m²/ha/yr)
Afuera	1	0.2	4.88	74.31	12.79	301.94	-0.03
Aguila	2	0.7	5.42	76.24			
Ambar	3	14.7	24.32				
Baya	4	0.6	10.19	78.44	10.46	313.33	0.22
Bumeran	5	0.9	13.70	72.36			
Chigüire	6	0.3	8.72	74.39	13.84	399.86	0.28
Chotacabra	7	4.0	13.29	85.25			
Cola	8	1.0	9.58	77.00	12.27	326.41	0.21
Colon	9	0.6	10.99	80.55	13.87	425.00	0.17
Danto Machado	10	180.8	26.86	85.43			
Densa	11	0.5	9.71	71.56	4.44	195.59	0.05
Facil	12	0.3	8.76	84.81	12.50	346.32	0.17
Grande	13	88.0	13.77				
Iguana	14	0.7	13.75	85.73	17.35	461.43	0.39
Lomo	15	12.0	15.88				
Miedo	16	0.7	6.51	82.37	4.69	137.14	0.14
Palizada	17	1.8	17.21	79.68	7.87	198.33	
Paloma	18	0.5	4.23	81.29	7.25	226.46	0.04
Panarama	19	11.1	17.64				
Perimetro	20	1.7	21.37				
Quina	21	0.6	10.76	83.84			
Reinita	22	0.2	6.35	77.08	11.84	400.00	0.00
Rocas	23	0.6	9.29	76.92			
Solitario	24	2.4	12.34	75.67			
Triangulo	25	2.3	8.82	80.91			
Tucucito	26	1.5	14.01	77.18			









Leaf cutter ants: herbivores Leaf cutter colonies on small islands ~ 1-7 /ha On large islands/mainland: .01-.04/ha







Persisting on small islands: Predators of invertebrates: spiders, anurans (frogs/toads), lizards, birds Seed predators (small rodents) Herbivores (howler monkeys, iguanas, leaf cutter ants)

Absent from small islands: Frugivores (principal seed dispersers) and predators of vertebrates.

Medium islands: + armadilos, agoutis, phorid fly parasitouds of ants

Large islands: + deer, peccaries, tapir, monkeys

Mainland: jaguar, puma, harpy eagle





Summarizing the trophic cascade on Lago Guri:

- 1. Insularization: top predators gone quickly
- 2. Herbiverous consumers flourish
- 3. Recruitment of trees severly diminished (only 20% of saplings on small islands vs. mainland)
- 4. Lianas, shrubs, grasses favored, canopy trees eaten.

"Hyperabundant folivores threaten to reduce species-rich forests to an odd collection of herbivore-resistant plants... the endpoint is likely to be a biologically impoverished system, much like that found today on 85-yr old islands in Lake Gatun, Panama" – Terborgh et al. Lessons from the trophic cascade on Lago Guri:

- Hyperabundant grazers in US (cows, deer, etc) e.g. native grasses -> shrubs
- Top-down regulation of ecosystem primary productivity and diversity can be as important as 'bottom-up' regulation.

GE/BI307 The Species-Area Relationship

"The species-area relationship is one of ecology's oldest and most profound generalizations... It pertains to the preservation or loss of biological diversity on our planet, where the total area of natural landscape grows smaller and more fragmented every year."

- David Quammen

History of the Species-Area relationship

Forster 1778: "Islands only produce a greater or less number of species, as their circumference is more or less extensive"

Watson (1859), deCandolle (1855), Jaccard (1902, 1908), Brenner (1921), Arrhenius (1921), Gleason (1922, 1926).

Arrhenius was the first to generalize the relationship in mathematical form.









A few comments on the Species-Area Curve:

$$S = C \times A^2$$

•The species-area curve depends on whether we are considering area "samples" within a large, uniform area, versus true "isolates", like islands or landscape fragments.

•Samples typically have higher species richness for the same area. Why?









Of what practical use is the Species-Area relationship?

- 1. Puts biodiversity on a quantitative basis: underpins the Equilibrium theory of Insular Biogeography
- 2. Local: Allows conservationists to estimate how big a patch is needed to preserve X% of flora and fauna.
- 3. Global: Can be used to estimate global biodiversity and expected biodiversity loss.





	BLOCK NUMBER												
SPECIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	111	12	13
TURKEYTRACK GRASS	11	1	V	1	1	V	V	12	11	1	V	V	V
SCOTT'S TOOTH GRASS	V	V	V	V	V	1	V	V	V	V	V		~
LITTLE BROAD-LEAVED GRASS	V	14	V	1	1	1	V	V	1	V	1	REC.	V
FAT-LEAVED GRASS	V	~		~	V	1	V	V	~	V	V	V	V
WHITE CLOVER	V	12	V	V	1	1	14	10000	12	V	V	1	V
ALSIKE CLOVER	V	V	V	V	V		V		V	V	V	1	V
HOP CLOVER	12 12 12 12	1255	1222	V	1993	1500	V	1221	THE .	V	THERE.	1002	V
YELLOW WOOD SORREL	V		V	1		V	V	V			V		V
MOUSE-EAR CHICKWEED	V	V	1	1	V	V	V	V	V	1	11	1200	1
JAMES'S 3-LEAF							12			V			V
SMOOTH-LEAVED BARBARA		V	13.03	1	V	10.000	V	15.85	10	10	12222	10382	~
HAIBY HABBY				V	V		V						V
BROAD-LEAVED PLANTAIN	V	V	~	V	V	V	V	V	V	4	V	V	~
FANCY MARY	V	V			V				V		V	V	~
DANDELION	V	V	12	1333	V	12	V	1	V	1	1	V	~
ITTY-BITTY					V		V						~
WHITE ASH TREE	18 (Size)	1973	12/-22	3565	1335	10.30	12000	V	235	V	120	See.	1.1
SPEEDWELL		V	V		V	V	V	V	V		V	V	~
BERT WEED		107.2	1.00	123523	228	123	V	1255	13533	1000	12783	1378	~
INDIAN STRAWBERRY			~			V		V			~	V	~
BROWN-TOP MUSHROOM	S 355	1992	1000	12252	11	120	8335	C AGE	1998.22	19929	6252	12005	BREE
PETITE LISA	V				V							~	
BOSS MOSS	14	V	334	V	V	自然者	V	1223	物肥	V	121122	[COST	0223
FIELD SPEEDWELL	V											V	
FUZZY CHICKWEED		1939	1000	14.14	1227	The set	124.2	12522	THE P	3.548	1	~	2532
SCARLET PIMPERNEL										V			~
TWIN BETTY		1216	232		3376	1000			1200	1333	12220	V	21111
NARROW-LEAVED PLANTAIN					~								
MYSTERY PLANT		2.52	Sile		V	611.8			調開		1	NTES.	V
NOVA TERRA SHARON	1										~		
PRINCETON PARSLEY	1000	100	\$757	1.23	2133	0.0	10000	1522	1000	2352	14	1993	223
POISON IVY											~		
LINDA BERRY	18 A.A.	198			1.0008	63.63	1000	1000	111	N.ES	1000	11212	~
ERNIE WEED					1						V		
TOTAL PER BLOCK	14	13	11	13	19	11	18	11	12	15	19	13	22
CUMULATIVE TOTAL	14	16	17	19	23	23	25	26	26	27	32	33	34
AREA OF BLOCK	1	1	T	1	4	4	4	16	16	16	64	64	64
CUMULATIVE AREA	1	2	3	4	8	12	16	32	48	64	128	192	256



Question:

Now we know something about how the size of an 'island' influences biodiversity...

What's another major geographical factor that you might think influences biodiversity of landscape fragments?

Answer:

Degree of Isolation of the Fragment from other fragments or the 'mainland'





We've discussed two ingredients of a theory of insular biogeography

- 1. Species Richness increases with area
- 2. Species Richness decreases with isolation.

A third and last key ingredient:

3. Species turnover: Colonized islands over time tend toward a balanced rate of immigrations and extinctions. Example: Rakata/krakatau





Painting of the River Thames Nov. 26, 1883 (William Ascroft) (eruption Aug. 26-27)



The wave lifted the steamship Berouw up the Koeripan River valley, depositing the ship over a mile inland, thirty feet above sealevel, killing all 28 of its crew members.

http://www.geology.sdsu.edu/how_volcanoes_work/Krakatau.html


Krakatau flora and fauna (MacArthur, Wilson)

•After eruption, species quickly colonized, and approached an equilibrium species richness

•Species 'turned over' – some new ones came, some went extinct, equilibrium roughly maintained.



Number of species for	und	aluta	C t			
	Kakata		Sertung			
	Nonmigrant	Migrant	iotal	Nonmigrant	Migrant	Iota
1908	13	0	13	1	0	1
1919–1921	27	4	31	27	2	29
1932-1934	27	3	30	29	5	34
	Extinctions	Coloniz	ations	Extinctions	Coloniz	ations
1908 to 1919-1921	2	20		0	28	
1919-1921 to 1932-1934	5	4		2	7	
	the second se	-				
Source: After MacArthur	and Wilson 196	1.				
urce: After MacArthur	and Wilson 196	<i>.</i>				

Robert MacArthur and E.O. Wilson put fragment area, isolation and turnover together in an elegant theory called "The Equilibrium Theory of Island Biogeography"

Hypothesis: For any island (or isolate), there is a dynamic equilibrium between the influx and extinction of species.

Kind of like water molecules evaporating and condensing at equal rates in a closed, half-filled jar.















But there are important limitations to the equilibrium theory

- All species treated the same, disregards requirements for range size by different species.
- Doesn't account for Speciation
- Doesn't account for habitat heterogeneity

•Extinction also depends on island isolation (rescue effect) and immigration depends on island size (target area effect). Rescue effect provides both individuals and genetic diversity to near islands. Target island effect – larger islands may be better seen or encountered by potential immigrators. **"The factors affecting the arrival of new species are not independent of those influences the extinction of species already present" – James Brown.**







