

1. A dichotomy among strong (syntactic) islands (Stahlke 1974)

1.1 Those rescuable by resumptives

- (1) (a) ʔAdé/Ta ni o rí [òun àti Olú]
 WH.PERS FOC 2S see 3S and
 'It is Adé you saw him and Olú'
 'Who did you see her/him and Olú?'
 (b) ʔAdé/Ta ni o jí [ìwé e rè].
 WH.PERS FOC 2S steal book K 3S
 'It is Adé whose book you stole'
 'Whose book did you steal?'

1.2 Those that resumptives can't fix ("essential S configurations")

- (2) *Bàtá ni/tí o mọ [eni tí ó jí (wón)].
 shoe FOC/REL 2S know person REL 3S steal 3P
 ['It is shoes that you know the person who stole (them)']
 ['The shoes that you know the person who stole (them)...']

1.3 A problem: nonparallelism of *wh*- across strong islands

- (3) (a) Kí ni o mọ [eni tí ó jí (wón)]?
 WH.THING FOC 2S know person REL 3S steal 3P
 'What do you know the person who stole (it/them)?'
 (b) O mọ [eni tí ó jí kí ni (m bẹ)]?
 2S know person REL 3S steal WH.THING FOC there
 'You know the person who stole WHAT (there)?'
 (c) *O mọ [eni tí ó jí bàtá ni (m bẹ)].
 2S know person REL 3S steal shoe FOC there
 ['You know the person who stole SHOES there']

2. Reconstruction as the source of *wh*-focus nonparallelism2.1. COP *ni*

- (4) Ọlópàá ni mí.
 cop COP 1S.ACC
 'I'm a cop'
 (5) (a) *Èmi ni Ọlópàá ni.
 IS FOC cop COP
 ['I'm the person who's a cop']
 (b) *Ọlópàá ni (ó) ni mí.
 cop FOC AGR COP 1S.ACC
 ['A cop is what I am']
 (6) (a) *Ta ni ọlópàá ni?
 WH.PERSON FOC cop COP
 ['WHO's a cop']
 (b) Ta ni mí?
 WH.PERSON COP 1S.ACC
 'Who am I?'
 (c) Kí ni mí?
 WH.THING COP 1S.ACC
 'What (role/profession) am I?'
 (7) (a) Èmi ni ó ẹe/je ọlópàá.
 IS COP AGR do/equal cop
 'I'm the one who's a cop'
 (b) Ta ni ó ẹe/je olè?
 WH.PERSON COP AGR do/equal thief
 'Who is a cop?/Who are cops?'

N.b. 'cop' is not in Focus in (7).

2.2 No *wh*- superiority effect

- (8) (a) Ta ni ó ra kí (ni)?
 WH.PERSON FOC AGR buy WH.THING FOC
 'Who bought what?' *N.b.* Eastern dialects lack in-situ object *ni*
 (b) Kí ni ta ni-ín rà?
 WH.THING FOC WH.PERSON FOC-AGR buy
 'What did who buy?'
 (c) Ibí ni ta ni-ín n gbé?
 here FOC WH.PERSON FOC-AGR DUR hold
 'Who lives HERE?'

3. Weak (semantic) focus-movement islands

3.1 Generics, to subject idioms

- (9) (a) Ọjọ n lọ.
 day DUR go
 'Time flies'
 (b) Ayé n lọ. (...A n tọ ọ.)
 visible.world DUR go 1P DUR follow 3S
 'Life's a journey. (We follow along its path.)'
 (10) (a) Kí ni ó n lọ?
 WH.THING FOC 3s DUR go
 'What is it that goes/is going?'
 (b) #Ọjọ ni ó n lọ.
 day FOC 3s DUR go
 ['What flies is TIME'] *N.b.* Good only without the idiom.
 (c) #Ọjọ kii lọ.
 day NEG.FOC go
 ['What never flies is TIME'] *N.b.* Good only without the idiom.
 (d) Ìgbà kii lọ bí ọréré l'áyé.
 day NEG.FOC go manner vista in.world
 'What never flies is TIME'

3.2 Negation, to adjuncts and other quantifiers

- (11) (a) Kò rí/je bèè.
 NEG see/equal thus
 'That's not how it appears/is', i.e. 'Matters do not stand thus'
 (b) *Bèè ni kò rí/je.
 thus FOC NEG see/equal
 (c) Bèè kọ ni ọlá rí.
 thus NEG.COP FOC prestige see
 'That's not how prestige looks'
 (12) (a) *Bá.wo ni kò rí/je?
 way.which FOC NEG see/equal
 (b) Bá.wo kọ ni ọlá rí?
 way.which NEG.COP FOC prestige see
 'How isn't it that prestige looks?'
 (13) (a) Wọn kò lọ pèlèpèlẹ.
 3P NEG go gently
 'They did not go gently'
 (b) *Pèlèpèlẹ ni wọn kò (fi/şe) lọ.
 gently FOC 3p NEG PV go

- (14) (a) Qlá kò şe ibi .kan.
prestige NEG do misfortune one
'Social standing in itself cannot be one's undoing'
- (b) *Ibi .kan. ni ọlá kò şe.
misfortune one FOC prestige NEG do
- (15) (a) Wọn kò jẹ àmàlà.
3P NEG eat àmàlà
'They did not eat àmàlà'
- (b) Ta ni kò jẹ àmàlà?
WH.THING FOC NEG eat àmàlà
'Who did not eat àmàlà?'
- (c) Àwọn ni (wọn) kò jẹ àmàlà.
3P FOC 3P NEG eat àmàlà
'They're the only ones who didn't eat àmàlà'
- (16) (a) Gbogbo o wa kò lọ.
all K 1P NEG go
'All of us did not go' (¬ > √, ? √ < ¬) (Adéwolé 1993)
- (b) Gbogbo o wa ni kò lọ.
all K 1P FOC NEG go
'None of us went' (√ < ¬) (Adéwolé 1993)
- (c) Gbogbo o wa kọ ni ó lọ.
all K 1P NEG.COP FOC AGR go
'Not all of us went' (¬ < √)
- (17) (a) Kí ni wọn kò jẹ?
WH.THING FOC 3P NEG eat
'What did they not eat?'
- (b) Àmàlà ni wọn kò jẹ.
àmàlà FOC 3P NEG eat
'Àmàlà is the only thing they didn't eat'
- (c) Àmàlà kọ ni wọn jẹ.
àmàlà NEG.COP FOC 3P.AGR eat
'They ate something other than àmàlà'

3.2 Yes/no Q

- (18) (a) Sé o jẹ àmàlà?
Q 2S eat àmàlà
'Did you eat àmàlà?'
- (b) Sé àmàlà ni o jẹ?
Q àmàlà FOC 2S eat
(i) 'Is it àmàlà that you ate?' i.e. 'Did you eat ÀMÀLÀ?'
(ii) '*Is it the case that it is àmàlà that you ate?'
- (c) Sé iwọ ni ó jẹ àmàlà?
Q 2S FOC AGR eat àmàlà
(i) 'Is it you who ate àmàlà?' i.e. 'Did YOU eat àmàlà?'
(ii) '*Is it the case that it is you who ate àmàlà?'
- (19) (a) Ìyẹn ni pé àmàlà ni o jẹ?
that.one COP that àmàlà FOC 2S eat
'Is it the case that it is àmàlà that you ate?'
- (b) Ìyẹn ni pé iwọ ni ó jẹ àmàlà?
that.one COP that 2S FOC AGR eat àmàlà
'Is it the case that it is you who ate àmàlà?'

4. Verb focus

- (20) (a) #Lílọ ni ọjọ n lọ.
GER-go FOC day DUR go
'Time (really) FLIES'
- (b) *Rírí/jíjẹ ni kò rí/jẹ bẹẹ.
GER-see/equal FOC NEG see/equal thus
'That's not how the matter (actually) APPEARS'
- (c) *Rírí ni ọrọ kò rí bẹẹ.
GER-see FOC matter NEG see thus
- (21) (a) *Rírí ni m kò rí ẹnìkan.
GER-see FOC 1S NEG see person.one
- (b) *Şíşe ni Ọlá kò şe ibi .kan. (vs. Şíşe kọ...)
GER-do FOC prestige NEG do misfortune one
- (c) *Lílọ ni wọn kò lọ pẹ̀lẹ̀pẹ̀lẹ̀. (vs. Lílọ kọ...)
GER-go FOC 3P NEG go gently
- (d) *Jíjẹ ni Sopé kò jẹun. (vs. Jíjẹ kọ...)
GER-eat FOC NEG eat.meal
- (e) *Jíjẹ ni (wọn) kò jẹ àmàlà. (vs. Jíjẹ kọ...)
GER-eat FOC 3P NEG eat àmàlà
- (f) Ìyẹn ni pé jíjẹ ni o jẹ àmàlà?
that.one COP that GER-eat FOC 2S eat àmàlà
(i) 'Did you EAT àmàlà?'
(ii) 'Is it the case that you ATE ÀMÀLÀ?'

5. Consequences

5.1 For UG (cf. Szabolsci & den Dikken 1999)

5.2 For YG (cf. Koopman 1984, 1999)

References

- Abraham, R.C. 1958. *Dictionary of Modern Yorùbá*. University of London Press
- Adéwolé, L.O. 1993. Negating the Yorùbá universal quantifier: a semantic analysis. *Journal of Nigerian Languages & Literatures* 1, 1-7. Lincom Europa, Unterschleissheim.
- Awóyalé, Y. 1985. Focus as an unbounded movement rule in Yorùbá. *Journal of the Linguistic Association of Nigeria* 3, 75-84.
- Báńgbóşé, A. 1967. *A Short Yorùbá Grammar*. Heinemann, Ibadán.
- Cinque, G. 1990. *Types of A'-Dependencies*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Déchainé, R.-M. & V. Manfredi. 1997/*ms*. Yorùbá in the typology of focus. Presented at the 9th Niger-Congo Syntax & Semantics Workshop, University of Ghana, Legon.
- É. Kiss, K. 1993. *Wh*-movement and specificity. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 11, 85-120.
- Hornstein, N. 1995. *Logical Form*. Blackwell, Oxford.
- Koopman, H. 1984. *The Syntax of Verbs; from Verb-Movement Rules ni the Kru Languages to Universal Grammar*. Foris, Dordrecht.
- Koopman, H. 1999. Unifying predicate cleft constructions. *Ms.*, UCLA.
- Koopman, H. & D. Sportiche. 1986. A note on long extraction in Vata and the ECP. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 4, 357-74.
- Moro, A. 1997. *The Raising of Predicates; Predicative Noun Phrases & the Theory of Clause Structure*. Cambridge University Press.
- Owólabí, K. 1987. Focus constructions as noun phrases: a critique. *Yorùbá, Journal of the Yorùbá Studies Association of Nigeria* 1, 45-62.
- Rizzi, L. 1990. *Relativized Minimality*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Ross, J.R. 1967. Constraints on variables in syntax. Dissertation, M.I.T., Cambridge, Mass.
- Stahlke, H. 1974. Pronouns and islands in Yorùbá. *Studies in African Linguistics* 5, 171-204.
- Szabolsci, A. & M. den Dikken. 1999. Islands. *Glott International* 4.6, 3-8.
- Yusuf, O. 1990. Yorùbá copular *ni*. *Journal of West African Languages* 20, 83-93.