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(1) Hypermeter and closure
   (a) Meter as temporal hierarchy, the rule of tonal-rhythmic closure

(2) Two methods of expositional closure
   (a) Simple closure: Haydn Op. 54/2, Beethoven Op. 9/1
   (b) Elided closing material: Beethoven Op. 7

(3) Network model of musical form
   (a) Sonata form
   (b) Introductions and codas

(4) Typology of codas
   (a) Adjunct coda: Beethoven, Op. 95
   (b) Integrated coda: Beethoven, Op. 7
   (c) Disjunctive coda: Haydn, Op. 64/1, Beethoven, Op. 59/2
(1) Hypermeter and Closure

(a) Meter as temporal hierarchy,
Rule of tonal-rhythmic closure
(b) Two methods of expositional closure
(c) The open exposition
Meter as temporal hierarchy

A measure of 4/4
from Justin London,
*Hearing in Time:*

Unfolded:

As a network on timepoints:
Normal hypermeter is a structural relationship between downbeats in four-measure groups:

It also commonly allows for various types of irregularity:

One-measure extension:

Two-measure extension:
It also commonly allows for various types of irregularity:

One-measure extension:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\end{array}
\]

Two-measure extension:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4/1 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
\end{array}
\]

Deletion
Rule of tonal-rhythmic closure

Tonal and rhythmic closure are *coordinated* when the cadential **final tonic** occupies the **final measure** of a group.
Rule of tonal-rhythmic closure

Elided cadences lack tonal-rhythmic coordination and therefore are a method of avoiding full closure.

Cadence elided.  
Not full closure

Doesn’t end on tonic.  
No closure
Rule of tonal-rhythmic closure
Two ways to satisfy the rule of closure:

Full closure

Simple method:
Cadence in bar 4

Expanded method:
Cadence at the beginning of a group and hold tonic through entire group
Rule of tonal-rhythmic closure

Example of simple closure, Haydn Op. 54/3, Trio

PAC, final tonic ends 4-bar group: full tonal-rhythmic closure
(2) Methods of Expositional Closure

(a) Simple closure
(b) Elided closing material
(c) Open exposition
Methods of Expositional Closure:
Simple Closure

Haydn usually gives full tonal-metrical closure through simple closure (PAC in the fourth bar of a group).

*Example:* String Quartet in C major, Op. 54/2

Many early works of Beethoven follow this precedent.

*Example:* String Trio in G major, Op. 9/1
Haydn, Op. 54/2, End of ST group

48

55

Repeat of cadential phrase

64

PAC

73

Simple closure

HC

PAC

Repeat of cadential phrase

PAC

PAC

PAC
Beethoven Op. 9/4, End of ST group

ST part 2

PAC: Simple
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PAC: Simple
Persistent elision of cadences can push the moment of tonal-metrical closure to the end of the exposition.

This is common in Beethoven’s Piano Sonatas and middle period works in many genres.
Beethoven, Op. 7 Piano Sonata, Exposition

Beginning of ST

Repeat

PAC elided
Deceptive cadence!
Beethoven, Op. 7 Piano Sonata, Exposition

PAC elided and evaded

Repeat
Beethoven, Op. 7 Piano Sonata, Exposition

Closing
PAC elided
Closure: hypermetric unit ends on tonic
Open Expositions

In Beethoven’s middle period, the tendency to delay closure in expositions evolves into a denial of closure, resulting in open expositions, ones that lacks tonal or tonal-metrical closure altogether.

Examples:

• Op. 47 Violin Sonata (“Kreutzer”): Tenacious hypermeter prevents full tonal-metrical closure despite multiple PACs through elision.

• Op. 59/2 String Quartet (E minor): Also has strong hypermeter, but also no completed PAC—all cadences are deceptive or imperfect.
Beethoven, Op. 47 Violin Sonata, end of exposition

V \text{V/iv!}
Open Expositions

Early examples of works with tonal closure but lacking tonal-metrical closure in the exposition:

- Op. 13 Piano Sonata (“Pathetique”)
- Op. 24 Violin Sonata (“Spring”)
- Op. 28 Piano Sonata (“Pastorale”)
- Op. 30/3 Violin Sonata (G major)
- Op. 53 Piano Sonata (“Waldstein”)

The first four of these all involve dissonance over tonic pedal (weak examples)
Beethoven, Op. 59/2 String Quartet, end of exposition
Beethoven, Op. 59/2 String Quartet, end of exposition

DC!

Elided IAC!
Open Expositions

Early examples of open expositions:

In Haydn:
  Op. 20/3 String Quartet (G minor)
  Op. 76/2 String Quartet (D minor)

In Beethoven:
  Op. 30/2 Violin Sonata (C minor)
  Op. 59/2 String Quartet (E minor)
  Fifth Symphony finale
  Op. 69 Cello Sonata (A major)
  Op. 70/1 Piano Trio (“Ghost,” D major)
  Op. 70/2 Piano Trio (E-flat major)
  Seventh symphony first mvt.
  Op. 96 Violin Sonata (G major)
(3) Network Model of Musical Form

(a) Sonata form
(b) Introductions and codas
Sonata Form

Sonata form conventionally involves coordinated structures in two modalities, **formal** and **tonal**:

Form:
- Exposition
- Recapitulation
- M.C.
- Binary division
- Recap.

Tonal structure:
- HK: I
- SK: HC
- SK: I
- SK: PAC
- HC
- PAC

**NBRC 11/2/2016**
Introductions and Codas


Both emphasize the symmetry of “before the beginning” and “after the end”

A slow introduction as an incomplete unit:
Introductions and Codas

Imaginary “start” and “end” vertices can be used to show incomplete units.

Slow introduction to a sonata form:

Connections to “end” show that the slow introduction is a beginning that is unintegrated into the sonata form.
Introductions and Codas

Imaginary “start” and “end” vertices can be used to show incomplete units.

Analogous *adjunct coda*:

Connections to “start” show an unintegrated coda.

**Unlike slow introductions, unintegrated codas are extremely rare.**

Therefore the analogy between introductions and codas is imperfect in practice.
(4) Typology of Codas

(a) Adjunct coda
(b) Integrated coda
(c) Disjunctive coda
On Beethoven’s Codas

Kerman (1982): “On Beethoven’s Codas”
Hopkins (1988): “When a Coda is More than a Coda”
Morgan (1993): “Coda as Culmination: The First Movement of the *Eroica* Symphony”

Analysis focuses on problems of individual works
(Why is there a coda in this piece?)
Observations are not generalizable
(E.g., coda solves outstanding problem)

A different question: How do codas work (in general)?
Adjunct versus integrated coda

Adjunct coda:

Integrated coda:

Most codas use some device to formally *integrate* the coda with the preceding sonata form, as a **third part**.
Adjunct coda, example: Beethoven Op. 95

Final cadence
(weak tonal closure)
Adjunct coda, example: Beethoven Op. 95

Closing (tonic pedal)

Coda
Adjunct coda, example: Beethoven Op. 95

Characteristics of Op. 95 coda:

• New tempo
• New idea thematically unrelated to rest of quartet
• Sense of initiation

But . . .

Lack of strong tonal closure in preceding sonata rondo means that it is only formally adjunct, it is integrated tonally.

Hypothesis: The coda is part of a goal of integrating the four movements by means of formal and tonal dependency rather than motivic linkage.
Integrated coda: Methods of integration

Building blocks of formal structure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repetition:</th>
<th>Fragmentation:</th>
<th>Caesura/Contrast:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Example: &quot;monothematic exposition&quot;)</td>
<td>(Example: continuous exposition)</td>
<td>(Example: second theme)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Repetition: Idea → Repeat idea
- Fragmentation: Leisurely pace → Accelerated pace, Sequence
- Caesura/Contrast: Idea → Contrasting idea

Textural break
Integrated coda, example: Beethoven, Op. 9/1

In an *integrated coda* the coda makes a larger structure with the sonata form using techniques of *repetition* — return of main theme—and/or *fragmentation*, e.g. development-like sequence (hence the notion of “second development.”)

The integrated coda may be considered a **third part** to added to the sonata form (especially if it is long).
Beethoven, Op. 9/1: Coda

(Closing section, sonata form completed)

PAC (tonal closure)

Coda: fragmentation of main theme basic idea
Disjunctive coda,
Examples: Haydn Op. 64/1, Beethoven, Op. 59/2

In a disjunctive coda the denial of final tonal-metrical closure perpetuates the tonal recapitulation, while the formal recapitulation is completed by the closing material.
Haydn, Op. 64/1: Coda

(. . . Recapitulation)

120

Unexpected digression

Ger$^+6 = V^7/N$  Tonal closure denied!

Coda: Sequence (Fragmentation)

Frag. of MT
Coda: Fragmentation of main theme

Tonal digression

Elided IAC
Beethoven evidently adopted the disjunctive coda from Haydn. But it leads to other forms of disjunction that were distinctively Beethovenian innovations:

- Non-standard subordinate keys (Opp. 28, 31/1, 53, 56, Lenore) = disjunction at the binary division (exposition/development)

Summary

• Hypermetric elision, a method of delaying expositional closure in Haydn, becomes a method of denying expositional closure altogether in Beethoven, leading to more radical denial of closure in the middle-period works.

• The denial of closure in recapitulations necessitates codas.

• Methods of composing codas may be understood as techniques for formal integration of sonata form and coda, in particular through repetition and fragmentation.

• A special type of coda, pioneered by Haydn and influential for Beethoven, is the disjunctive coda, in which a tonal extension of the recapitulation coincides with a conflicting formal structure (of integrated coda).