**Nematostella vectensis** & **Edwardsiella lineata:** a comparison of Planula

Anthony Lever and Pamela Braff

http://people.bu.edu/jrf3/BI547/

http://www.sciencemadecool.com/2008/05/friday-parasite.html

Introduction

- distribution of marine species is of huge impact
  - fisheries
  - tourism
- adult success depends on their larvae
- what do larvae sense?
- how do larvae behave?
- marine management areas

Background

- *N. vectensis* and *E. lineata* are closely related sea anemones
- *E. lineata* has a recently evolved parasitic life stage
- an organism must have a predisposition to parasitism
- *E. lineata* and *N. vectensis* have common histories with new adaptations

**Why Choose E. lineata & N. vectensis?**

- closely related species with different life cycles.
- can see their different ecological niches early on in development.
- Their shared history with current evolved changes presents the opportunity for an interesting larval comparison.

---

Good general introduction. One more way to think of it: Nv and El have very different habitat distributions, but their adults actually have similar forms and habits (so the behavior of adults can’t be responsible for the habitat differences).
Main Hypothesis

- Although *N. vectensis* and *E. lineata* are related sea anemones, *E. lineata* has an additional parasitic life stage which presents different requirements and implications. Therefore, we predict that *N. vectensis* and *E. lineata* planula will display different sense capabilities and responses as well as different behavior and movement patterns.

Planula Experiments

- Ctenophore Recognition
- Settlement
- Geotaxis
- Phototaxis

General Methods

- *Mnemiopsis leidyi* was collected from Woods Hole and Sippewissett during September 2009.
- *E. lineata* parasites were excised from the collected ctenophores and were allowed 2-4 days to mature into planula before use in experiments.
- *E. lineata* trials were always executed in full strength sea water.
- *N. vectensis* planula were spawned from laboratory culture polyps.
- *N. vectensis* trials were always executed in 1/3 strength sea water.
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**Hypothesis**

- During early observations, after removing *E. lineata* from *M. Leidy*i, we noticed parasites attempting to infect small pieces of jelly left over from the ctenophores. Therefore we think that *E. lineata* planula have the ability to sense when their host *M. leidy*i is near and will attempt to infect the ctenophore regardless of its health.

- *N. vectensis* planula should not have this capability because it does not have a parasitic life stage.

**Recognition Trials**

- (1) Can *E. lineata* and *N. vectensis* planula detect and infect a small piece of *M. leidy*i?

- (2) Will *E. lineata* planula swim towards *M. leidy*i even when they are separated by a filter?

**Ctenophore Infection (1)**

**Methods**

- placed 4 *E. lineata* and 4 *N. vectensis* in a petri dish with a piece of *M. leidy*i

- observed organism location every 5 minutes for 20 minutes

- 5 samples

**Results from *E. lineata*, there was no response**
**Swim Direction (2) Methods**

- PVC pipe with 3 sections separated by filters (ctenophore, planula, empty)
- added 10 *E. lineata* planula for 3 minutes and recorded their end location

**Swim Direction (2) Results**

- average planula amount on ctenophore side is 6.83
- average planula amount on ctenophore side is 8

**Swim Direction (2) Discussion**

- average planula amount on ctenophore side is 6.83
- average amount swimming against the filter is 3.6
- chi squared is 8.06

Do either of these findings represent a significant departure from the 50/50 expectation? Make clear what your chi-squared test was testing: that there is a preference for swimming in the sector closer to the ctenophore.

**Swim Direction (2) Discussion**

- average planula amount on ctenophore side is 8
- average amount swimming against the filter is 5.5
- chi squared is 21.6

Do either of these findings represent a significant departure from the 50/50 expectation? Make clear what your chi-squared test was testing: that there is a preference for swimming in the sector closer to the ctenophore.
Settlement

- Where do planula go to settle when their larval stage is over?
- Do planula show a preference for certain substrates?
- Do *N. vectensis* and *E. lineata* have similar or different preferences?

Hypothesis

- During collections we observed *N. vectensis* around shallow muddy areas and *M. leidyi*, the host for *E. lineata*, around sandy coastal areas. Therefore we predict that if we set up a system where planula can choose the sediment in which they settle, a greater amount of *N. vectensis* will settle in mud, while a greater amount of *E. lineata* will settle in sand.

Methods

- set up a temporary partition in a shallow bowl
- added clean mud and sand to each side
- removed the partition, then added water and organisms
- let bowl sit for at least a week

Geotaxis

- Where do planula prefer to be in the water column?
- Do *E. lineata* planula and *N. vectensis* planula show different swimming patterns?
- Do environmental changes alter the swimming patterns of planula?

I like the way you’ve organized the talk into specific questions / hypotheses
Hypothesis

• *E. lineata*’s parasitic stage suggests that the planula likes to be dispersed over large distances. Therefore we believe that the planula will prefer to be higher up in the water column where there is a greater chance for dispersal.

• Studies have shown that *N. vectensis* usually colonizes locally*. Therefore we believe that *N. vectensis* planula will stay lower in the water column to avoid dispersal, unless water conditions are unfavorable.


Methods

• added 3 different solutions to 10 mL graduated cylinders and observed swimming behavior and depth
  
  • normal salinity (*N. vectensis* and *E. lineata*)
  
  • solution from a sliced planula (*N. vectensis*)
  
  • diluted ammonia (*N. vectensis*)

Results

• *E. Lineata* show a preference for being near the surface, and exhibit considerable swimming capabilities (90.5% swam).

• *N. Vectensis* do not swim under normal conditions. They move horizontally on the bottom when exposed to a sliced polyp solution. And they generally keep themselves on the surface of the water in unfavorable conditions (90.5%).

Chi-squared was significant (38)
Ed the Swimmer

Phototaxis

• Can planula sense light?
• Does it affect their behavior or not?
• Will they move towards or away from light?

Hypothesis

• While collecting in Woods Hole we noticed a large number of M. leidyi near the surface of the water, therefore we predict that E. lineata will have a preference for epipelagic environments and furthermore, well-lit environments.
• N. vectensis live in shallow tidal areas, therefore we predict that N. vectensis planula will also show a preference

Methods

• We set up a piece of PVC pipe so that half was covered by a piece of black plastic and the other half was lit by a lamp.
• We then added both N. vectensis and E. lineata to the center and allowed them to swim to either side.

Both anemones in the same salinity? ...at the same time? These things aren't clear from your slide.
**Results**

- *E. lineata* showed a small preference for the lit side of the flume and *N. vectensis* showed a large preference for the light.
- The Chi-squared value was significant (11.04).

**Discussion**

- *E. lineata* prefer to be high in the water column, they show some preference for well-lit areas, and they have the sensory capabilities to detect and seek out their hosts, *M. leidyi*.

**Nematostella vectensis Discussion**

- *N. vectensis* shows a preference for benthic environments unless those benthic environments are unfavorable, prefers light over dark, and doesn’t have the ability to sense or seek out *M. leidyi*.

**Conclusion**

- Our results and statistical analyses support our hypothesis that while *E. lineata* and *N. vectensis* are closely related anemones, they exhibit very different behavioral patterns and life styles because *E. lineata* is a parasite and *N. vectensis* is not.

*N. vectensis* is highly derived in its own right. It is the only member of its family that has invaded the estuarine environment (and the only member of its family that lays its eggs in a negatively buoyant egg mass—Is this connected to its derived estuarine existence? I think so.)
**Future Ideas**

- Try to dye an *N. vectensis* planula and then plant it inside a *M. leidyi* to see if it has the capability to survive inside without being digested.
- Repeat our experiments but on a much larger scale to yield more accurate and average results.
- Do more test to see how capable *E. lineata* is at distinguishing its host (*M. leidyi*) from other ctenophores, and from jelly substitutes (i.e. cteno agar).
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**The End**

Notice our bilateral symmetry!