

## Ec721 PROBLEM SET 1

1. Suppose the policy space is a one dimensional real variable  $p$ , there are a finite (odd) number of voters each with single peaked preferences, with a median ideal point  $p_M^*$ . Two parties  $i = A, B$  compete in an election, and select policy platforms  $p_A, p_B$  before the election, to which they are subsequently committed, in the event that are elected. In contrast to the Downsian model, suppose that each party  $i$  has (ideological) policy preferences represented by a von Neumann utility function  $W_i(p)$  which is also single peaked, with ideal point  $p_i^*$ . So if  $\phi_A$  denotes the probability that party A wins, party  $i$ 's objective is to maximize  $\phi_A W_i(p_A) + (1 - \phi_A) W_i(p_B)$ .

(a) Suppose that  $p_M^*$  lies in between  $p_A^*$  and  $p_B^*$ . What is the set of Nash equilibrium policy platforms? Provide complete proofs.

(b) Now suppose that  $p_B^* > p_A^* > p_M^*$ . What can you say now about the policy that will be chosen by the winning party (in Nash equilibrium)?

2. Consider the Besley-Coate (1997) model of citizen candidates, applied to the following economy. There are four policies  $p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3$  and three types of citizens  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Citizens care only about policies chosen by elected candidates and not the latter's identity. Preferences for every citizen are strict between every pair of policies. Policy  $p_0$  is ranked last by every citizen, this results when no candidate runs for election. Type 1 citizens prefer  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  to  $p_3$ . Type 2 citizens strictly prefer  $p_2$  to either  $p_1$  or  $p_3$ . Type 3 citizens strictly prefer  $p_3$  to  $p_2$  to  $p_1$ . The proportion of type 2 citizens is less than a third of the population, while there are an equal proportion of citizens of types 1 and 3.

Any citizen can run for office, at an entry cost of  $\delta$  which is positive but close to zero. In the following, consider equilibria for all sufficiently small values of  $\delta$ .

(a) Does a single candidate equilibrium exist? If so, characterize the set of such equilibria.

(b) Does a two candidate equilibrium exist? If so, characterize the set of such equilibria.

(c) Does a three candidate equilibrium exist? If so, characterize the set of such equilibria.