Nonlinear Models

and

Hierarchical Nonlinear Models

Assumption of linearity

- The final assumption of linear models that we'll address is that of linearity
 - Recall that linearity of models is wrt parameters
- "Beastiary" of model from lecture 6 (Bolker ch 3)

Assumption of linearity

- Consider any arbitrary function / process model $y = g(x|\theta_m)$
 - Choose a data model y ~ PDF(g(x| θ_m), θ_d)
 - If Bayesian, choose priors on $\theta_m \& \theta_d$

Fitting nonlinear models

- Rarely an analytical solution
- Likelihood
 - Numerical optimization
 - LRT or Bootstrap error estimates & prediction
- Bayes
 - Metropolis-Hastings

Fitting nonlinear models

Nothing you haven't seen / done before

Nothing sacred about linear models

Things to watch for...

- Parameter identifiability
- Redundant
 parameters





Things to watch for...



Nonlinear Hierarchical Models

- Often takes more thought to decide which parameters you consider random and which are fixed
- Setting all parameters to random can often result in unidentifiablity
- Inclusion of covariates also challenging

Example: Coho salmon reproduction

Beverton-Holt pop'n model with DD

$$r_t = \frac{S_t}{1/\alpha + S_t/r_m} e^{\epsilon_t}$$

- Consider
 - s = # of spawning Coho salmon
 - r = # of recruits
- Reproduction varies by stream?
 - How can we incorporate random stream effect?

Alternatives







 $\epsilon_{i,t}$ $r_{i,t} = \frac{1}{1/\alpha_i + s_{i,t}/r_{m,i}}$ $\epsilon_{i t} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ $r_{m,i} \sim N(\mu_r, \tau_r^2)$ $\alpha_i \sim N(\mu_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}^2)$ $\mu_r \sim N(r_0, V_r)$ $\mu_{\alpha} \sim N(\alpha_0 V_{\alpha})$ $\tau_{\alpha}, \tau_r \sim IG(s_1, s_2)$

Process model

Residual error

Stream-level parameters

Across stream parameters

Across stream variance



S (number of spawning females per kilometer of river)

Scale dependence in the effects of leaf ecophysiological traits on photosynthesis: Bayesian parameterization of photosynthesis models





25 prairie species2 yearsMonthly (within growing season)3-5 replicates/species

$$\alpha' = \alpha + \beta_{\text{Chl}}(\text{Chl} - \overline{\text{Chl}}) + \beta_{\text{SLA}}(\text{SLA} - \overline{\text{SLA}}) + \alpha_{\text{leaf}}$$





Example: CO2 effect on tree seedling growth

- i seedling
- j plot
- t-year
- 1 light
- y growth



 l_{c} varies w/ CO2, Priors on $\in v_{g}$, v_{k} , O^{2} , θ , l_{c}





Canopy Light: Synthesizing multiple data sources

- Plant growth depends upon light (previous example, lab 7)
- Hard to measure how much light an ADULT tree receives
- Multiple sources of proxy data
 - Exposed Canopy Area
 - aerial photography, Quickbird
 - Canopy status
 - suppressed, intermediate, dominant (ex 8.2.2)
 - Light models
 - Allometries, stand map



....

Mechanistic Light Model



• Estimate light levels based on a 3D ray-tracing light model

 Parameterized based on canopy photos, tree allometries





Exposed Canopy Area

- Error in relationship between "true" light λ and observations λ^e

$$p(\lambda_i^{(e)}) = \begin{cases} 1 - p_i & \lambda_i^{(e)} = 0 \\ p_i N(\ln(\lambda_i^{(e)}) | \ln(\lambda_i), \nu_e) & \lambda_i^{(e)} > 0 \end{cases}$$

 Probability of observing the tree in imagery increases with "true" light availability

$$logit(p_i) = c_0 + c_1 \lambda_i$$

Mechanistic Light Model

- Assume a log-log linear relationship between "true" light and modeled light
- Provides a continuous estimate of light availability for understory trees
 - ECA = 0
 - Status = 1

$$p(\lambda_i^{(m)}) = N(\ln(\lambda_i^{(m)})|a_0 + a_1 \cdot \ln(\lambda_i), \nu_m)$$

Model Fitting

- Model fit all at once
- Find the conditional probabilities for each parameter (i.e. those expressions that contain that parameter)
 - Always at least 2 likelihood and prior
 - Can be multiple likelihoods
- MCMC iteratively updates each parameter conditioned on the current value of all others