# Model Selection II 

- Philosophy of science and multiple alternative models
- Trade-offs
- Likelihood-based metrics
- Likelihood Ratio Test
- AIC
- Bayesian metrics
- DIC
- Predictive Loss


## Model selection

- Focus on choosing between multiple competing models rather than refuting a single null model
- How do we judge models?
- Complexity
- Number of parameters
- Uncertainty
- Model residuals
- Parameter error (identifiability)
- Data as ultimate arbiter
- "Make everything as simple as possible, but not simpler." - A. Einstein


## Likelihood Ratio Test

- $L R=L\left(x \mid \theta_{0}\right) / L\left(x \mid \theta_{\text {。 }}\right)$
- $\mathrm{D}=-2 \ln L\left(x \mid \theta_{\circ}\right)--2 \operatorname{lnL}\left(x \mid \theta_{.}\right)$
- The test statistic $D$ is known to be distributed with a $\chi^{2}$ distribution
- Degrees of freedom = Difference in \# of param.
- Overall, L increases (-InL declines) with \# of param.
- Penalizes model with more parameters
- $p-\mathrm{val}=1-\mathrm{pchisq}(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{df})$


## Akaike Information Criterion

$$
A I C=-2 \ln L+2 \mathrm{p}
$$

- $p=$ number of parameters in the model
- Based on information theory
- Lowest value "wins"
- No p-value
- Often expressed relative to best model, $\infty$ AIC
- "Rules of thumb"
- 0-2 = similar 2-5 = weak support $\quad>5=$ strong


## P-value

- Probability of obtaining a test statistic at least as extreme as the one that was actually observed, assuming that the null hypothesis is true.
- Not the probability that the null hypothesis is true
- P-value can be close to zero when the posterior probability of the null is close to 1
- Not the probability of falsely rejecting the null hypothesis
- Not biological significance


## Power

- Probability of correctly rejecting the null hypothesis
- Requires that some explicit alternative hypothesis is stated
- Parameter values
- Variance
- Sample size
- Often calculated as a function of sample size
- For complex models, calculate through simulation



## Generic Example

## LnL.A = function(theta) $\{$

 -sum(dnorm(y,f(x,theta),sd)))
## \}

InL. $0=$ function $(\mathrm{mu})\{$
-sum(dnorm(y,mu,sd))
\}
for(i in 1:nsim) $\{$
Ey $=f(x$, theta) \#\# process model
y $=$ rnorm $(N, E y, s d) \quad$ \#\# data model outA = optim(ic,InL.A) \#\#fit of alternative out0 = optim(ic,InL.0) \#\#fit of null pval[i] = 1-pchisq(2*(outA\$value-out0\$value),df)
power $=$ sum $($ pval $<0.05) /$ nsim

## Example: Quadratic vs Linear LRT



## Deviance Information Criterion

$$
\begin{gathered}
D I C=\bar{D}+p_{D} \\
\bar{D}=E[D(\theta)]=\frac{1}{n_{g}} \sum D_{i} \quad p_{D}=\bar{D}-D(\bar{\theta})
\end{gathered}
$$

- $p_{D}=$ effective number of parameters
- Easily calculated from MCMC
- Averages over parameter distribution rather than just single maximum
- Applicable when the number of parameters is ambiguous
- Lowest score "wins"


## Hierarchical Models

Hierarchical
Common mean


Independent
$\mu \quad \mu$
$\mu$
$\dot{\prime}$
$\dot{Y}$
${ }_{1}$$\dot{Y}_{2} \dot{Y}_{3}$
$p=6$

## DIC computation

dic.samples (model, n.iter, ...)

- For each MCMC iteration
- Calculate and store deviance: $\mathrm{D}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=-2 \operatorname{lnL}\left(\mathrm{y} \mid \theta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
- After MCMC
- Calculate posterior means for parameters $\bar{\theta}$
- Calculate D at $\bar{\theta}: \quad D(\bar{\theta})=-2 \ln L(y \mid \bar{\theta})$
- Calculate $\overline{D(\theta)}=\sum D\left(\theta_{i}\right) / n_{g}$
- $D I C=2 \overline{D(\theta)}-D(\bar{\theta})$

Model DIC pD $\triangle$ DIC
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { flat } & 221.10 & 2.06 & 82.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { linear } & 174.40 \quad 3.12 \quad 35.30\end{array}$
quadratic $\begin{array}{lll}139.10 & 4.15 & 0.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { cubic } & 141.40 & 5.27 & 2.30\end{array}$

## Watanabe-Akaike (WAIC)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{WAIC}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \underbrace{\int\left[y_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\right][\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{y}] d \boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text {Posterior Predictive Distribution }}+2 p_{\mathrm{D}, 2} \\
& p_{\mathrm{D}, 2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{y}}\left(\log \left[y_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\right]\right) \\
&\mathbf{L}]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Fully Bayesian
- Both elements in sum approximated using MCMC samples
model <- jags.model(mod,data = data, n.chains=chains,quiet=TRUE)
samps <- coda.samples(model, variable.names=c("like"), n.iter=iters, progress.bar="none")
\# Compute DIC dic <- dic.samples(model,n.iter=iters)
DIC <- sum(dic\$dev)+sum(dic\$pen) \# Compute WAIC
like <- rbind(samps[[1]],samps[[2]]) \# Combine samples from the two chains
fbar <- colMeans(like)
Pw <- sum(apply(log(like),2,var))
WAIC <--2*sum(log(fbar))+2*Pw


## Predictive Loss

$$
D_{p 1}=G+P
$$

- $G=$ total residual $S S$
- $P=$ total predictive variance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum\left(E\left[y_{\text {rep }}\right]-y_{\text {obs }}\right)^{2} \\
& \sum \operatorname{var}\left[y_{\text {rep }}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Given $y_{\text {obs }}$, predict replicate $y_{\text {rep }}$
- i.e. predictions made for same points as observations
- Focused on prediction, easily calc from MCMC
- Does not require model dimension


## UNCERTAINTY



Parameters

## Predictive Loss Algorithm

- For every MCMC step
- Generate pseudodata at same points/covariates as the original data (otherwise equiv. PI calc.)
- From posterior predictive distribution
- Calculate posterior mean for each point: $E\left[y_{\text {rep }}\right]$
- Calculate residual variance for each point: $\operatorname{Var}\left[y_{\text {rep }}\right]$
- $\mathrm{P}=$ sum of $\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathrm{y}_{\text {rep }}\right]$ over all points
- $\mathbf{G}=\sum\left(E\left[y_{\text {rep }}\right]-y_{\text {obs }}\right)^{2}$


## Predictive Loss: Quadratic

## model

flat
linear quadratic cubic

## P

$10065.16 \quad 8596.29$
18661.45
$1546.03 \quad 1215.26$
2761.3
$378.68 \quad 272.7$
651.38
$410.45 \quad 271.3$
681.74

## Bayes Factor

$$
B F=\frac{p\left(M_{1} \mid y\right) / p\left(M_{2} \mid y\right)}{p\left(M_{1}\right) / p\left(M_{2}\right)}
$$

- Require assigning a prior probability to each model
- Hard to calculate except in limited cases
- Asymptotically tends to select too simple
- I have not seen BF used much recently


## Reversible Jump MCMC

- Considers the number of terms in a nested model to be unknown
- Will add and remove terms within the MCMC step
- Generates a posterior probability for each model
- Prediction automatically averages over models
- "in fashion"


## Bayesian Model Averaging

- Make predictions using all of your alternative models


Flg. 3. BMA predictive PDF (thick curve) and its five components (thin curves) for the 48 -h surface temperature forecast at Packwood, WA, initialized at 0000 UTC on 12 Jun 2000. Also shown are the ensemble member forecasts and range (solid horizontal line and bullets), the BMA $90 \%$ prediction interval (dotted lines), and the verifying observation (solid vertical line).


## As of now we can...

- Fit models (Likelihood and Bayes)
- Construct Confidence intervals
- Test Hypotheses / compare models
- Make predictions that propagate uncertainty


## What's left?

- Exploration of common and more advance models
- Useful approaches/models for certain types of problems

