

# EC 718: Problem Set 1

Bart Lipman

Spring 2012

1. When we did Anscombe–Aumann, I asserted that the fact that  $U(f)$  satisfied  $U(\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g) = \lambda U(f) + (1 - \lambda)U(g)$  implied that we could write  $U$  as

$$U(f) = \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{z \in Z} f(s)(z) u_s(z).$$

Prove this assertion.

2. Consider a Choquet expected utility maximizer whose nonadditive probability measure is  $v(A) = 0$  for all  $A \neq S$  and  $v(S) = 1$ . Show that such a person evaluates every act by the worst possible outcome it could yield. What MMEU representation is this equivalent to?

3. Suppose we extend the nonadditive probability model to two-player games in the following way. Let  $A_i$  be the set of actions for player  $i$  and  $u_i : A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  the payoff function,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let's define an equilibrium in nonadditive beliefs to be a pair of nonadditive probabilities  $(v_1, v_2)$ , where  $v_i$  is a nonadditive probability measure on  $A_i$ , satisfying the following property. For all  $a_i$  such that  $v_i(a_i) > 0$ ,  $a_i$  is a best reply for player  $i$  to  $v_j$ . That is,

$$\int u_i(a_i, a_j) dv_j(a_j) \geq \int u_i(a'_i, a_j) dv_j(a_j), \quad \forall a'_i \in A_i$$

where this is the Choquet integral.

(a) Show that if  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are additive, then this is equivalent to the usual definition of a mixed strategy equilibrium.

(b) Consider the following game.

|       |        |        |
|-------|--------|--------|
|       | $b_1$  | $b_2$  |
| $a_1$ | 10, 10 | -10, 9 |
| $a_2$ | 9, 10  | 9, 9   |

What is the set of equilibria in additive probabilities? Show that  $(v_1, v_2)$  is an equilibrium where

$$v_1(a_1) = v_1(\emptyset) = 0, \quad v_1(a_2) = v_1(\{a_1, a_2\}) = 1$$

and

$$v_2(b_2) = v_2(\emptyset) = 0, \quad v_2(b_1) = \delta, \quad v_2(\{b_1, b_2\}) = 1$$

for any  $\delta \leq 19/20$ .

4.

(a) Suppose we define the support of a nonadditive probability  $v$  on a finite set  $S$  to be the set of  $s \in S$  such that  $v(\{s\}) > 0$ , the definition implicit in Problem 7. Give an example of a nonadditive probability  $v$  whose support is empty.

(b) Suppose we define a support of a nonadditive probability  $v$  on a finite set  $S$  to be a set  $\hat{S} \subseteq S$  such that  $v(S \setminus \hat{S}) = 0$  and there is no proper subset of  $\hat{S}$  with the same property. Give an example of a nonadditive probability  $v$  with more than one support according to this definition.