



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Pragmatics 37 (2005) 543

---

---

journal of  
**PRAGMATICS**

---

---

[www.elsevier.com/locate/pragma](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/pragma)

## Erratum

# Erratum to “A relevance reassessment of reformulation markers” [Journal of Pragmatics (2004) 36 (11), 2059–2068]

Silvia Murillo

*Escuela Universitaria de Ingeniería Técnica Industrial, Universidad de Zaragoza,  
Campus Tecnológico y Empresarial del Actur, C/ María de Luna, 3, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain*

---

The publishers would like to apologise for a mistake that occurred in the above article during its publication. The fourth and fifth sentences of the second paragraph on page 2067 were published as follows:

Connectives like *after all* and *so* are relevant in virtue of the inferential connections they express (Blakemore, 1987), while all of them explicitate or help to make certain inferences. However, RMs have very important characteristics in common, viz., their procedural meaning, the fact that they are non-truth conditional, and the fact that they guide inferences in discourse.

These sentences should have been published as follows:

Connectives like *after all* and *so* are relevant in virtue of the inferential connections they express (Blakemore, 1987), while RMs explicitate or help to make certain inferences. However, all of them have very important characteristics in common, viz., their procedural meaning, the fact that they are non-truth conditional, and the fact that they guide inferences in discourse.

---

doi of the original article: [10.1016/j.pragma.2004.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2004.01.006)

*E-mail address:* [smurillo@unizar.es](mailto:smurillo@unizar.es).

0378-2166/\$ – see front matter © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

doi:[10.1016/j.pragma.2004.09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2004.09.005)